

Influence of biofertilizers and zinc on growth and yield of mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.)

ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted during Rabi 2021-2022 to study the “Effect of biofertilizers and zinc on growth and yield of mustard (*Brassica juncea*)”, at Central Crop Research Farm, Department of Agronomy, SHUATS, Prayagraj (U.P). Mustard variety of Varuna T-59₁ was used with biofertilizers like *Azotobacter* and PSB along with different levels of Zinc at 5, 10, 15 kg/ha. There were 9 treatments each replicated thrice. The result showed that growth and yield parameters viz., plant height (191.33 cm), no of branches(10.40), Plant dry weight (34.99g), No.of siliquae/plant (283.93), No.of seeds/silique (14.99), seed yield (2.23t/ha) and stover yield(4.90t/ha) were recorded heighest in treatment 9 with the application of *Azotobacter* 10g/kg seeds+ PSB 10g/kg seeds + Zinc 15kg/ha. Gross return (1,33,800.00 INR/ha), Net return (93,921.00INR /ha). B:C ratio (2.35) were recorded superior with application of *Azotobacter* 10g/kg seeds+PSB 10g/kg seeds + zinc 15 kg/ha.

Key words-*Azotobacter*, PSB, Mustard, Zinc, Seed yield

INTRODUCTION

Mustard (*Brassica juncea* (L.) Czern & Coss) belongs to the family Cruciferae popularly known as rai or raya and it is the most important rabi season crop of north India. It has a higher potential of production per unit area than other members of the family Cruciferae. Indian mustard is nutritionally very rich having 35-40% oil content and the protein range from 25-30 %. Although the oil is the most valuable product of Brassica oilseeds, the seed and oil are used as a condiment in the preparation of pickles, flavoring curries and also used as vegetable. Mustard oil is used in tanning industry for softening of leather, preparation of hair oils, medicines, soap making and in manufacture of greases. The high protein meal that remains after the oil extraction and mustard cake are used for cattle feed and manure.

Globally rapeseed-mustard is grown in an area of 33.64 million hectares with a production of 62.84 million tonnes and productivity of 1856 kg ha⁻¹ (FAO stat., 2017). Mustard production is around 12-14 million tonnes. European Union is the leading producer of mustard seed in the world accounting for 35% of the world production followed by Canada (21%), China (22%) and India (11%) (GOI, 2018).

India is one of the largest rapeseeds and mustard growing countries in the world, occupying the 1st

Comment [L1]: delete

Comment [L2]: lowercase

Comment [L3]: kg.ha⁻¹

Comment [L4]: branches (10.40)

Comment [L5]: idem L2

Comment [L6]: No. of

Comment [L7]: Idem L6

Comment [L8]: Yield (4.90 t.ha⁻¹)

Comment [L9]: 10g.kg⁻¹

Comment [L10]: Idem L9

Comment [L11]: Idem L2

Comment [L12]: 15kg.ha⁻¹

Comment [L13]: Idem L9

Comment [L14]: Idem L9

Comment [L15]: Idem L12

Comment [L16]: avoid words that are in the title

Comment [L17]: Italic

Comment [L18]: as vegetable

Comment [L19]: ?

rank in area and 2nd in production next to China. Total area under rapeseed and mustard in India is about 6.23 million hectares with a production of 9.34 million tonnes and productivity of 1499 kg ha⁻¹ (GOI, 2018). It is predominantly grown in the states of Rajasthan, Uttar Pradesh, Haryana, Madhya Pradesh and Gujarat and some the non-traditional areas of South India including Karnataka, Tamil Nadu and Andhra Pradesh.

Bio-fertilizers are known to play a number of vital roles in soil fertility, crop productivity and production in agriculture as they are eco-friendly but cannot at any cost replace chemical fertilizers that are indispensable for getting maximum crop yields. Bio-fertilizers offer a low cost, low capital intensive and eco-friendly route to boost the farm productivity depending upon their activity of mobilizing different nutrients. Use of bio-fertilizers in crop not only fixes the biological nitrogen but also solubilizes the insoluble phosphates in soil and thus improves fertilizer use efficiency. Biofertilizers also promotes seed germination and give initial vigor of plant by producing growth promoting substances. (Yadav et al. 2010).

Comment [L20]: Biofertilizers

Comment [L21]: Idem L20

Comment [L22]: Idem L20

Comment [L23]: thus improves

Comment [L24]: delete

Azotobacter is non symbiotic nitrogen fixing microorganism having the potential to fix considerable quantities of atmospheric Nitrogen in the rhizosphere of non-legumes. Besides nitrogen fixation, it synthesizes various growth promoting substances such as Vitamins of B group, Nicotinic acid, Gibberellins and anti-fungal compounds. *Azotobacter* inoculation improves the crop productivity by 0-25 percent over the control in the absence of any amendment and by 8.75 percent in the presence of NPK (Narula, 2000)

Comment [L25]: insert space

Comment [L26]: .

Phosphate solubilizing bacteria (PSB) promotes seed germination and initial vigor of the plants by producing growth promoting substances. Application of PSB results in increased mineral and water uptake, root development and nitrogen fixation (Gangwal et al., 2011). PSB solubilizes unavailable phosphorus in soil and makes it available to the plants.

Zinc is an essential micro-nutrient, plays an important role in plant system for the proper growth,

development and in production of biomass (Cakmak, 2008). Principle function of zinc in plants is as a metal activator of enzymes, about 10% of enzymes require zinc as a co-factor viz., in tryptophan synthetase, an enzyme responsible for tryptophan synthesis in indoleacetic acid (IAA) biosynthesis (Hafeez et al., 2013). Application of zinc has a significant effect on seed yield, oil content of the seeds and test weight. It also helps in the utilization of phosphorus and nitrogen along with physiology of seed formation (Upadhyay et al., 2016). Zinc deficiency also affects the carbohydrate metabolism, damages pollen structure and also decreases the yield (Fang et al., 2008). So, it is very important to apply zinc fertilizer for increasing the yield and improving crop quality.

Comment [L27]: Insert space

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present examination was carried out during Rabi 2021-22 at Crop Research Farm, Department of Agronomy, SHUATS, Prayagraj, UP, which is located at 25.28°N latitude, 81.54°E longitude and 98 m altitude above the mean sea level. The experiment laid out in Randomized Block Design which consisting of nine treatments each replicated thrice. Mustard variety of Varuna T-59, was used with biofertilizers like *Azotobacter* and PSB along with different levels of Zinc at 5, 10, 15 kg/ha. The experimental site was uniform in topography and sandy loam in texture, nearly neutral in soil reaction (PH 7.1), low in Organic carbon (0.38%), medium available N (225 kg ha⁻¹), higher available P (19.50 kg ha⁻¹) and medium available K (213.7 kg ha⁻¹). In the period from germination to harvest several plant growth parameters were recorded at frequent intervals along with it after harvest several yield parameters were recorded those parameters are growth parameters, plant height, no. of branches/plant and plant dry weight are recorded. The yield parameters like No. of siliqua/plant, No. of seeds/siliqua, Test weight (g), seed yield (t/ha), stover yield (t/ha) and harvest index were recorded and statistically analyzed using analysis of variance (ANOVA) as applicable to Randomized Block Design (Gomez K.A. and Gomez A.A. 1984).

Comment [L28]: delete

Comment [L29]: kg.ha⁻¹

Comment [L30]: Idem L29

Comment [L31]: Idem L29

Comment [L32]: Idem L29

Comment [L33]: Yield (t.ha⁻¹)

Comment [L34]: t.ha⁻¹

Treatment Combinations

T₁ *Azotobacter* 20g/kg seeds+ Zinc 10 kg/ha

Comment [L35]: kg.ha⁻¹

T₂ *Azotobacter* 20g/kg seeds+ Zinc 15 kg/ha

Comment [L36]: kg.ha⁻¹

T₃ *Azotobacter* 20g/kg seeds + Zinc 20 kg/ha

Comment [L37]: kg.ha⁻¹

T₄ PSB -20g/kg seeds+ Zinc 10 kg/ha

Comment [L38]: kg.ha⁻¹

T₅ PSB -20g/kg seeds+ Zinc 15 kg/ha

Comment [L39]: kg.ha⁻¹

T₆ PSB -20g/kg seeds+ Zinc 20 kg/ha

Comment [L40]: kg.ha⁻¹

T₇ *Azotobacter* +PSB -20g/kg seeds+zinc10 kg/ha

Comment [L41]: kg.ha⁻¹

T₈ *Azotobacter* +PSB -20g/kg seeds+Zinc 15 kg/ha

Comment [L42]: kg.ha⁻¹

T₉ *Azotobacter* +PSB -20g/kg seeds+Zinc 20 kg/ha

Comment [L43]: kg.ha⁻¹

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Growth parameters:

Plant height

At harvest significantly higher plant height (191.33cm) was recorded in T₉ (*Azotobacter* 10g/kg seeds + PSB 10g kg/seeds + zinc 15 kg/ha). However, T₈ (*Azotobacter* 10g/kg seeds + PSB 10g kg/seeds + zinc 10 kg/ha) and T₇ (*Azotobacter* 10g/kg seeds + PSB 10g kg/seeds + zinc 5 kg/ha) statistically at par to T₉ (*Azotobacter* 10g/kg seeds + PSB 10g kg/seeds + zinc 15 kg/ha). Plant height of mustard was influenced by application of biofertilizers and zinc. The observed improvement in plant height due to zinc might be due to biosynthesis of IAA growth hormones, cell enlargement, cell division and multiplication which ultimately led to better plant height of mustard and boosted plant growth. Similar findings were also reported by **Sharma and Jain (2003)**.

Number of Branches per plant

Successive increase in the No. of branches of mustard was observed from 20 DAS to at harvest showing significant impact of *Azotobacter*, PSB and Zinc, and N:P:K as basal application respectively. At harvest significantly maximum number of branches (10.40) was recorded in T₉ (*Azotobacter* 10g/kg seeds + PSB 10g kg/seeds + zinc 15 kg/ha). However, T₇ (*Azotobacter* 10g/kg seeds + PSB 10g kg/seeds + zinc 5 kg/ha) and T₈ (*Azotobacter* 10g/kg seeds + PSB 10gkg/seeds + zinc 10 kg/ha) are statistically at par to T₉ (*Azotobacter* 10g/kg seeds + PSB 10g kg/seeds + zinc 15 kg/ha).

Application of *Azotobacter*, PSB and Zinc along with NPK increased the number of branches per plant. Addition of biofertilizers in relation to N and P fertilization is instrumental in increasing the attributes in every level of plant growth. Seed inoculation of *Azotobacter* and PSB significantly increase the growth viz., number of primary and secondary branches of plant. The favorable effect of bacterial inoculation could be attributed to increase in N supply in inoculated plots due to N-fixation ability of these bacteria. This explanation was given by **Singh and Sinsinwar (2006)**.

Dry weight

At harvest significantly highest plant dry weight (34.99g) was recorded in T₉ (*Azotobacter* 10g/kg seeds + PSB 10g kg/seeds + zinc 15 kg/ha). However, T₇ (*Azotobacter* 10g/kg seeds + PSB 10g kg/seeds + zinc 5 kg/ha) and T₈ (*Azotobacter* 10g/kg seeds + PSB 10gkg/seeds + zinc 10 kg/ha) are statistically at par to T₉ (*Azotobacter* 10g/kg seeds + PSB 10g kg/seeds + zinc 15 kg/ha).

Yield Attributes

No. of siliqua/plant.

Successive increase in the No. of siliqua/plant of mustard was observed at harvest showing significant impact of biofertilizers and Zinc management respectively. At Harvest significantly higher

Comment [L44]: 10g.kg⁻¹

Comment [L45]: 10g.kg⁻¹

Comment [L46]: 15kg.kg⁻¹

Comment [L47]: 10g.kg⁻¹

Comment [L48]: 10g.kg⁻¹

Comment [L49]: 10g.kg⁻¹

Comment [L50]: 10g.kg⁻¹

Comment [L51]: 10g.kg⁻¹.seeds⁻¹

Comment [L52]: 5kg.kg⁻¹

Comment [L53]: Idem L51

Comment [L54]: Idem L51

Comment [L55]: Idem L46

Comment [L56]: .

Comment [L57]: T₇ (*Azotobacter*

Comment [L58]: T₈ (*Azotobacter*

Comment [L59]: .

Comment [L60]: T₇ (*Azotobacter*

Comment [L61]: T₇ (*Azotobacter*

No. of siliqua/plant of mustard (283.93) was recorded in T9 (Azotobacter 10g/kg seeds +PSB 10g/kg seeds + Zinc 15 kg/ha), however, T8 (Azotobacter 10g/kg seeds +PSB 10g/kg seeds + Zinc 10 kg/ha) and T7 (Azotobacter 10g/kg seeds +PSB 10g/kg seeds + Zinc 5 kg/ha) is statistically at par to T9 (Azotobacter 10g/kg seeds +PSB 10g/kg seeds + Zinc 15 kg/ha).

No. of seeds/siliqua.

At Harvest significantly higher No. of seeds/siliqua of mustard (14.99) was recorded in T9 (Azotobacter 10g/kg seeds +PSB 10g/kg seeds +Zinc 15 kg/ha), however, T8 (Azotobacter 10g/kg seeds +PSB 10g/kg seeds + Zinc 10 kg/ha) and T7 (Azotobacter 10g/kg seeds +PSB 10g/kg seeds + Zinc 5 kg/ha) is statistically at par to T9 (Azotobacter 10g/kg seeds +PSB 10g/kg seeds +Zinc 15 kg/ha).

Comment [L62]: kg/ha) and

Test weight

At Harvest significantly higher test weight (3.80 g) was recorded in T9 (Azotobacter 10g/kg seeds +PSB 10g/kg seeds + Zinc 15 kg/ha), however, T8 (Azotobacter 10g/kg seeds +PSB 10g/kg seeds + Zinc 10 kg/ha), T7 (Azotobacter 10g/kg seeds +PSB 10g/kg seeds + Zinc 5 Kg/ha), are statistically par to T9 (Azotobacter 10g/kg seeds +PSB 10g/kg seeds + Zinc 15 kg/ha).

Application of *Azotobacter*, PSB and Zinc increased the yield attributes. The yield attributes viz., number of siliquae plant⁻¹, siliquae length, and number of seeds siliquae⁻¹, seed weight plant⁻¹ and 1000 seed weight increased due to increasing levels of zinc. The findings confirm the results of **Yadav et al. (2007)** and **Zizala et al. (2008)**. Seed inoculation with *Azotobacter* and PSB significantly increased yield attributes. This favorable effect of bacterial inoculation could be attributed to increase in N supply in inoculated plot due to N-fixation ability of these bacteria. This confirms the finding of **Singh and Sinsinwar (2006)**.

Comment [L63]: Italic

Seed yield

At harvest significantly higher number of Seed yield (2.23 t/ha) was recorded in T9 (Azotobacter 10g/kg seeds +PSB 10g/kg seeds + Zinc 15 kg/ha). However, T8 (Azotobacter 10g/kg seeds +PSB 10g/kg seeds + Zinc 10 kg/ha) is statistically par with T9 (Azotobacter 10g/kg seeds +PSB 10g/kg seeds + Zinc 15 kg/ha).

Application of biofertilizers and zinc has increased the yield of mustard. The increase in yield might be due to role of zinc in biosynthesis of indole acetic acid (IAA) and especially due to its role in initiation of primordial for reproductive parts and partitioning of photosynthates towards them, which resulted in better flowering and fruiting. The findings of present investigation are supported by **Jat and Mehra (2007)**. Bio-fertilizers have been identified as a good supplement to chemical fertilizers to increase soil fertility and crop production in sustainable farming. The use of bio-fertilizers results in the highest biomass and increased the nutrient uptake by plants. Similar results were reported by **Chandan et al. (2018)**. The plant emerging from biofertilizer inoculated seeds recorded significantly higher seed yield

Comment [L64]: of biofertilizers

Comment [L65]: of present

Comment [L66]: Biofertilizers

than plant emerging from biofertilizer uninoculated seeds. Similar finding was reported by **Yadav et al. (2010)**.

Stover Yield

At harvest significantly higher number of stover yield (4.90 t/ha) was recorded in T9 (*Azotobacter* 10g/kg seeds +PSB 10g/kg seeds + Zinc 15 Kg/ha). However, T8 (*Azotobacter* 10g/kg seeds +PSB 10g/kg seeds + Zinc 10 Kg/ha) is statistically par with T9 (*Azotobacter* 10g/kg seeds +PSB 10g/kg seeds + Zinc 15 Kg/ha).

Harvest Index

At harvest significantly higher Harvest index (31.34) was recorded in T6 (PSB 20g/kg seeds + Zinc 15kg/ha). However, T4 (PSB 20g/kg seeds + Zinc 5 kg/ha), T5 (PSB 20g/kg seeds + Zinc 10Kg/ha), T7 (*Azotobacter* 10g/kg seeds +PSB 10g/kg seeds + Zinc 5 kg/ha),T8 (*Azotobacter* 10g/kg seeds +PSB 10g/kg seeds + Zinc 10 kg/ha) and T9 (*Azotobacter* 10g/kg seeds +PSB 10g/kg seeds + Zinc 15 kg/ha)is statistically par with T6 (PSB 20g/kg seeds + Zinc 15kg/ha).

CONCLUSION

It is concluded that the application of *Azotobacter* 10g/kg seeds along with PSB 10g/kgseeds and Zinc 15kg/ha in Treatment 9 recorded higher growth and yield parameters. Italso recorded maximum gross return, net return and benefit cost ratio. Since it is economically more profitable, it, can be recommended to the farmers after further trails.

Comment [L67]: 10g.kg⁻¹

Comment [L68]: 10g.kg⁻¹ seeds

REFERENCES

- Cakmak, I. 2008. Enrichment of cereal grains with zinc: Agronomic or genetic biofortification. *Plant Soil* 302: 1-17.
- Chandan, S.K., Singh, S.K., Pandey, A. Singh, P. and Sneha Prabha (2018) Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth yield and nutrient uptake by Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.). *Annals of Plant and Soil Research* 20(1): 31-36
- Fang, Y. L., Wang, Z., Xin, L. Zhao X. and Hu, Q. 2008. Effect of foliar application of zinc, selenium, and iron fertilizers on nutrients concentration and yield of rice grain in China. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry* 56: 2079-2084.
- FAO, STAT. 2017. Prospects for Food, Nutrition, Agriculture and Major Commodity Groups. www.fao.org/

docrep/009/a0607e/a0607e00.htm.

- GOI, 2018. Agricultural Statistics at a Glance, Agricultural Statistics Division, Department of Agriculture and Cooperation and Farmers Welfare, Ministry of Agriculture, GOI, New Delhi
- Hafeez, B., Khanif, Y.M. and Saleem, M. 2013. Role of zinc in plant nutrition. *American Journal of Experimental Agriculture* **3**(2): 374-391.
- Jat, J.R. and Mehra, R.K. (2007). Effect of sulphur and zinc on yield, macronutrient content in and uptake by mustard on Haplustepts. *J. Indian Soc. Soil Sci.* **55** : 190-195.
- Narula, N., Gupta, P.P. and Lakshminarayana, K. 1993. Field response of Indian mustard [*Brassica juncea* (L.) Czernj. & Cosson], to inoculation of soil isolated and analogue resistant mutant of *Azotobacter chroococcum*, *Annals of Biology*, **9**, (1) 144-148.
- Sharma, P.P. and Jain, N.K. 2003. Effect of foliar sprays of agrochemicals on growth and yield of Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea*). *Indian journal of Agricultural Sciences* **73**(7):381-383
- Singh, R. and Sinsinwar, B.S. 2006. Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth, yield, oil content and nutrient uptake of Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea*) in eastern part of Rajasthan. *Indian journal of Agricultural sciences* **76**(5):322-324
- Yadav, H.K., Thomas, T. and Sharma, V. 2010. Effect of different levels of Sulphur and biofertilizers on the yield of mustard (*Helianthus annuus* L.) and soil properties. *Journal of Agricultural Physics* **10**: 61-65
- Yadav, R.B., Singh, R.V., Singh, H.R. and Yadav, H.S. (2007). Effect of different levels of zinc and Sulphur on Indian mustard (*Helianthus annuus* L.). *Crop Res. (Hisar)*, **33**(1/3): 74-76.
- Zizala, V.J., Yadav, N.B. and Gorfad, P.S. (2008). Effect of Sulphur and zinc on yield, quality and its concentration on mustard. *Asian J. Soil Sci.*, **3**(1):173-177.

Table 1. Effect of Spacing and Foliar application of Zinc on yield and yield attributing characters of Sesame

Treatment combinations	At harvest			60-80DAS	
	Plant height (cm)	No. of branches (No.)	Plant dry weight (g/plant)	CGR (g/m ² /day)	RGR (g/g/day)
<i>Azotobacter</i> 20g/kg seeds + Zinc 5 kg/ha	166.23	9.40	32.32	12.30	0.0106
<i>Azotobacter</i> 20g/kg seeds + Zinc 10 kg/ha	165.67	9.60	33.25	12.72	0.0106
<i>Azotobacter</i> 20g/kg seeds + Zinc 15 kg/ha	179.90	9.87	34.13	13.86	0.0112
PSB 20g/kg seeds+ Zinc 5 kg/ha	160.07	9.20	31.99	12.77	0.0110
PSB 20g/kg seeds+ Zinc 10 kg/ha	171.00	9.47	32.71	12.66	0.0107
PSB 20g/kg seeds+ Zinc 15 kg/ha	176.63	9.73	33.75	13.24	0.0109
<i>Azotobacter</i> 10g/kg seeds +PSB 10g/kg seeds+ Zinc 5 kg/ha	183.30	10.00	34.29	14.28	0.0114
<i>Azotobacter</i> 10g/kg seeds +PSB 10g/kg seeds+ Zinc 10 kg/ha	185.60	10.13	34.79	14.43	0.0114
<i>Azotobacter</i> 10g/kg seeds +PSB 10g/kg seeds+ Zinc 15 kg/ha	191.33	10.40	34.99	14.72	0.0115
F test	S	S	S	S	NS
Sem(±)	3.77	0.15	0.13	0.29	0.0003
CD (P=0.05)	11.31	0.45	1.02	0.86	-

Table 2. Effect of biofertilizers and zinc on yield attributes and yield of mustard

Treatment combinations	No. of siliquae /plant (No.)	No. of seeds/siliqua (No.)	Test weight (g)	Seed yield (t/ha)	Stover yield (t/ha)	Harvest index (%)
<i>Azotobacter</i> 20g/kg seeds + Zinc 5 kg/ha	267.53	12.63	3.43	1.95	4.36	30.88
<i>Azotobacter</i> 20g/kg seeds + Zinc 10 kg/ha	271.13	13.07	3.50	2.02	4.51	30.95
<i>Azotobacter</i> 20g/kg seeds + Zinc 15 kg/ha	274.80	13.57	3.53	2.08	4.6	31.10
PSB 20g/kg seeds+ Zinc 5 kg/ha	266.80	12.50	3.40	1.91	4.22	31.21
PSB 20g/kg seeds+ Zinc 10 kg/ha	269.93	12.87	3.46	1.99	4.36	31.32
PSB 20g/kg seeds+ Zinc 15 kg/ha	272.93	13.52	3.50	2.05	4.48	31.34
<i>Azotobacter</i> 10g/kg seeds +PSB 10g/kg seeds + Zinc 5 kg/ha	277.60	14.10	3.63	2.12	4.68	31.24
<i>Azotobacter</i> 10g/kg seeds +PSB 10g/kg seeds + Zinc 10 kg/ha	281.60	14.47	3.73	2.17	4.77	31.25
<i>Azotobacter</i> 10g/kg seeds +PSB 10g/kg seeds +Zinc15 kg/ha	283.93	14.99	3.80	2.23	4.90	31.28
F test	S	S	NS	S	S	S
Sem(±)	3.39	0.46	0.08	0.02	0.05	0.07
CD (P=0.05)	10.17	1.37	-	0.07	0.16	0.20

UNDER PEER REVIEW