

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_87218
Title of the Manuscript:	Morphometric Analysis of Nanjannad Hill Watershed of South India using geographical information system
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>In general, the content of the paper is quite good, but the author(s) should improve some items:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Provide 1 paragraph containing the exploration of research and previous publications in introduction section</li> <li>2) Provide 3-4 lines about the rationale and objective of the study in last portion of "Introduction" to clear the motivation and reason behind the study</li> <li>3) Please re-ensure the area of the basin (only 434 ha????)</li> <li>4) Please improve the details and resolution of Figure 1-3</li> <li>5) The author has not discussed the results of research well and deeply. Correlation of your results with previous literature is essential. So, co-relate the findings of current study with any more recent previous publications either in support or in contradiction for justification of results</li> </ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	I Gede Tunas
Department, University & Country	Universitas Tadulako, Indonesia