

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</a>
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_IJECC_87199
Title of the Manuscript:	Path Coefficient and Correlation Analysis in Maize (Zea Mays L.) Hybrids over Southern Aravalli Regions of Rajasthan
Type of the Item	Original Research Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that NO manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>

[Review Form 1.6](#)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVIEW comments	<p><b>Topic:</b> Apparently the theme is original cut.</p> <p><b>Resume:</b> This section does not contain the following items. Objective, method, hypothesis and conclusions of the proposed research. What is appreciated is the problem to be worked on and the methodology used to arrive at the possible results.</p> <p>It would be important to order correctly with the suggested elements of this section, since this segment allows identifying and evaluating the research carried out.</p> <p><b>Keywords:</b> The unesco thesaurus allows the localization of the article in a universal language. Biotechnology, agricultural products, agricultural chemistry....</p> <p><b>Introduction:</b> The problem is quite well developed, the objective of the investigation is made visible. It would be important to further develop the study to be carried out with the support of specialized literature.</p> <p><b>Results and Discussion.</b> It would be important to mention if this study is original (solid arguments) or if there are other studies related to the topic. The metrology is visible; however, in the case of research it is important to know the method used as well as the techniques applied, with the support of the respective literature.</p> <p>The difficulties caused, if any, are good to know as part of the investigative process. On the other hand, it would be interesting to mention lines of research that may arise from the results found.</p> <p><b>Conclusions. It does not exist, this is important within the investigation, not to say that without results there is no investigation.</b></p> <p><b>Bibliographic references.</b> The bibliographical references guarantee the theoretical process of the investigation, giving the sustenance that it deserves; therefore, at least a range of 40 – 50 references is recommended. In addition, it is important to take into account the requirements of the journal to which it applies and editorial standards.</p> <p>In the event that there is no bibliography, it would be accepted as it is, as it is the original subject matter.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVIEW comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>		

**Reviewer Details:**

<b>Name:</b>	<b>Jverson Santiago Quishpe Gaibor</b>
<b>Department, University &amp; Country</b>	<b>Salesian Polytechnic University, Ecuador</b>