

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_87195
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Nitrogen levels and Planting methods on Growth and Yield of Black rice (<i>Oryza sativa</i> L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The research paper is very good written and the ideas do flow logically. The work presented in this manuscript is no doubt valuable addition in the field but the objectives need improvements. This research article delivers the new idea of combining effect of nitrogen levels and Planting methods on Growth and Yield of Black rice . Methods that are appropriate can be duplicated by the researcher and statistics have been done correctly. In the result section, data are properly analyzed along with appropriate results, and the discussion section was presented well with updated information. The figures are well arranged and presented. The work is somewhat instructive with scientific relevance, but there are some notes:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Key words: add <i>Oryza sativa</i> L. 2. In introduction section <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The topic is long, for the researcher is an abbreviation. 3. In methodology section <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Division of work methods into paragraphs. 4. In statistical analysis section <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - write name of program (reference). 5. In results section <p>It is obvious by reading the results and discussion that it was good written by researcher but there is a note that the histograms, tables should be coloring and clearly illustrated.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 6. References section <p>Please recheck all the references carefully as per format of journal.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Specific Comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Abstract: - The author should re-arrange and re-write the abstract as the following order (Problem of research, aim of study, remarkable methodology, remarkable results, and significance of study). 2. Introduction: - The authors should provide the aim and the significance of this study by the end of this section. 3. Materials and Methods: - The authors should provide the relevant references to some sub-sections. 4. Conclusions: - The authors should add supported with the recommendations and future perspectives. 	
Optional/General comments	I hope these comments are helpful.	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Fahad K.Y. Al-dulaimi
Department, University & Country	Northern Technical University, Iraq