

Review Form 1.6

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | International Journal of Environment and Climate Change |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_IJECC_86993 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Impact of Rice Husk Biochar and Nitrogen Levels on Growth and Yield of Kharif Rice |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link: (<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|--|---|
| Compulsory REVISION comments | A section detailing the statistical methods used and how you used them to generate the results is ESSENTIAL . This is the only major flaw to an otherwise well-prepared paper. In Tables 1 and 2, use a letters report denoting significant differences between treatments; this makes it visually easier to interpret the results. Also report probabilities as they appear in your statistical software (ex. If $P < 0.05$, report 0.05). Need to signify what probability level you're using as well. | |
| Minor REVISION comments | Go through and make some grammatical changes to fit a wider audience. The paper flows smoothly, but the text is difficult to interpret in some places. The conclusion section needs a reference or two to future research regarding this subject. | |
| Optional/General comments | As someone who has worked with biochar, this article intrigues me. I would hope that this experiment continues a few more years. With the biochar already in the ground it would be interesting to see if you finally get statistical interactions between biochar and nitrogen fertilizer. I would say publish "as is", but the lack of a statistics section and clarity on the statistics requires revision. | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name: | James Burke |
| Department, University & Country | University of Arkansas, United States of America |