

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_86919
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATION OF MAINTAINER AND RESTORER LINES FOR FLORAL, YIELD AND YIELD CONTRIBUTING TRAITS IN RICE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	The authors have done a good work on the "Evaluation of maintainer and restorer lines for floral, yield and yield contributing traits in rice." The study identified elite parental maintainer and restorer lines with good growth, floral, yield and related attribute traits Moreover. the germplasm utilized in this study possessed useful variability and genetic parameter estimates for the studied traits that could be exploited for the rice genetic improvement program. Correlation and path analysis revealed that plant height, and number of productive tillers had positive significant correlation along with direct effect on grain yield that could be used in selective breeding program. However, there are lots of technical issues across all sections of the manuscript that require major correction sequel to consideration for its acceptance for publication. The detailed issues that the authors need to address are in the annotated revised manuscript.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The authors need to pay keen attention to the journal guidelines, correction of grammatical errors, and technical aspects in all sections of the document.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Prince Emmanuel Norman
Department, University & Country	Sierra Leone Agricultural Research Institute, Sierra Leone