

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_86836
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of Foliar application of Zinc and Biofertilizers on Growth of Sorghum (Sorghum bicolor L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Introduction: There are no citations, and they must be added The reasons for biofertilizers and the foliar application of zinc need to be better explained. The hypothesis and the objective of the work are missing.</p> <p>Methodology: Missing which variables were measured and how they are measured. Missing statistical analysis used.</p> <p>Results From Table 1 it cannot be inferred which treatment differs from which. So that information must be added and then rewrite the results Figures 2 to 6 repeat information from the table. So you must choose how to display the graphs, whether as a table or as graphs. But choose only one way. In any case, any figure presented must be referenced in the text. There is no discussion. Only citations are listed. Discussion must be improved.</p> <p>Conclusion. Based on what has been said about how the results are shown in table 1. It is not possible to make that conclusion.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Fermin Gortari
Department, University & Country	Universidad Nacional de Misiones, Argentina