

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_86547</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Value chain analysis of okra marketing in Siddipet district of Telangana</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljeai.com/index.php/JEAI/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>✓ What makes value chain analysis of okra? I think it is better to say analysis of okra marketing channel efficiency rather. Hence, <b>please reconsider the title as well.</b></li> <li>✓ Rewrite the abstract by adding specific research area, its objectives, sources of data, sampling procedures, sample size</li> <li>✓ Please give a frank account of the strengths and weaknesses of the article, show clearly the research gap, what the previous scholars were untouched, what value this paper is added?</li> <li>✓ Remove the topic like aim, methodology , result, conclusion etc in the abstract part.</li> <li>✓ From the sampling procedures please shows clearly the way how to determine the sample size</li> <li>✓ Put your specific objectives in the research gap part at the end of the paragraph</li> <li>✓ <b>From the sampling procedures please</b> shows clearly the way how to determine the sample size</li> <li>✓ Why purposive sampling was used?</li> <li>✓ What statistical analysis too were used, clearly show it</li> <li>✓ Please check the full text carefully to ensure that there are no spelling and grammatical errors, and that the language style is rigorous and objective, which meets the requirements of academic papers since the paper demands moderately improvement</li> <li>✓ Please support your result with other scholars' findings</li> <li>✓ Put the recommendation, so what should be improved the policy maker?</li> <li>✓ Reference should follow the scientific journal written format</li> <li>✓ The channel is more informative if it was expressed diagrammatically.</li> <li>✓</li> </ul>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Introduction part good in explain okra but improve it the research gap.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Wubalem Gobie Ejigu</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Debre Markos University, Ethiopia</b>