

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_86480
Title of the Manuscript:	Export Performance and Forecasting of Basmati Rice in India: An evidence from ARIMA model
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of „**Lack of Novelty**“, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>The title should be changed to make it clearer.</p> <p>What is the basis for selecting the analysis of the two periods 1987-2005 and 2006-2021</p> <p>More references refer to the research results of Anup Adhikari et. al., 2016. It is better to add the results of other people's research.</p> <p>The word may not be popularly used in research results. The author should be able to provide his own opinion from the results of his analysis</p> <p>The methodology also explains the use of the CAGR tool</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>The conclusion was changed again leading to the research objective of looking at the performance and forecasting of basmati rice. The abstract of the sentence was changed again according to the improvement of the manuscript.</p> <p>It is better to replace the year 1987-88 to 2021-22 with 1987 to 2021. References need to be added at least 5 papers and the year is at least 2000</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	This manuscript is the result of forecasting analysis using the Arima method. Therefore, the results of the analysis should be interpreted by the author, then juxtaposed with the results of other people's research	

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Valeriana Darwis
Department, University & Country	Indonesia