

## Original Research Article

### **Influence of Bio-fertilizers and Levels of Phosphorus on Growth and Yield of Cowpea (*Vigna Unguiculata* L.)**

#### **Abstract**

A field experiment was conducted during *Kharif* 2021 at Crop Research Farm, Department of Agronomy, SHUATS, Prayagraj (U.P). **To study the effect of biofertilizers with or without phosphorus rates on growth and yield of cowpea.**

The soil **sample** was sandy loam in texture, nearly neutral in soil reaction (pH 7.1), low in organic carbon (0.36 %), available N (171.48 kg/ha), available P (15.2 kg/ha) and available K (232.5 kg/ha). The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design with ten **treatments three replicates** on the basis of one year experimentation.

The treatments which are T<sub>1</sub>: Rhizobium + Phosphorous 30kg/ha, T<sub>2</sub>: Rhizobium + Phosphorous 40kg/ha, T<sub>3</sub>: Rhizobium + Phosphorous 50kg/ha, T<sub>4</sub>: PSB + Phosphorous 30kg/ha, T<sub>5</sub>: PSB + Phosphorous 40kg/ha, T<sub>6</sub>: PSB + Phosphorous 50kg/ha, T<sub>7</sub>: Rhizobium + PSB +Phosphorous 30kg/ha, T<sub>8</sub>: Rhizobium + PSB +Phosphorous 40kg/ha, T<sub>9</sub>: Rhizobium + PSB +Phosphorous 50kg/ha are used. The results showed that application of Rhizobium + PSB + Phosphorous 50kg/ha was recorded significantly **increase of** Plant height (83.89 cm), **n**odules/Plant (24.84), Branches/plant (4.24), Plant dry weight (18.29 g/plant), Crop growth (**germination**) rate (5.78 g/m<sup>2</sup>/day), Relative growth rate (0.0106 g/g/day), No. of Pods/plant (9.24), No. of Seeds/pod (11.25), Test weight (131.36 g), Seed yield (828.65 kg/ha), Stover yield (1282.58 kg/ha) and Harvest index (39.64) as compared to other treatments.

**Key words:** Rhizobium, PSB, Phosphorous, yield.

## Introduction

Cowpea is an important kharif legume crop commonly known as lobia, southern pea, black eyed pea farmed throughout India for green pods, pulses, green manuring and livestock fodder. Cowpea is commonly grown in subtropical regions that are moderately humid and warm. It is more droughts resilient however it is not tolerant to frost and waterlogging ( **Ref** ). Seeds of cowpea are nutritious and cheap source of quality protein, vitamins, iron, phosphorus as well as an excellent substitute for eggs, meat and other protein rich foods thus they are significant part of human diet ( **Ref** ). Cowpea grows predominantly in peninsular and central India. In northern India, it is grown in, Punjab, Rajasthan Haryana, Madhya Pradesh and Uttar Pradesh. During 2017 – 2018 the total coverage under cowpea in Uttar Pradesh is 23.61 lakh hectares with a production around 22.34 lakh tones ( **Anonymous, 2018** ).

Phosphorus availability in Indian soils is poor to medium, however application of adequate amount of phosphorus has been recorded for higher formation of good quality nodules led to enhances growth and yield in legumes ( **Sammuria et al., 2009** ). Phosphorus also imparts hardness to shoot, improves the quality and regulates the photosynthesis and covers other physico-biochemical process. Most of the phosphorus present in the soil is unavailable to plants which are made available through the activities of efficient micro-organism like bacteria, fungi and even cyanobacteria with production of organic acid and increasing phosphatase enzyme activity ( **Meena et al., 2006** ). Sufficient supply of phosphorus to plant hastens the maturity and increases the rate of nodulation and pod development. It is also an important constituent of vital substances like phospholipids and phosphoprotein. Since legume is heavy feeder of phosphorus, therefore, application of phosphatic fertilizer to chickpea promotes the growth, nodulation and the yield ( **Ref** ).

Biofertilizers are used with an objective to increase the microbial population in the rhizosphere which in turn enhances the availability of nutrients for easy assimilation by plants (**Sudhakar and Ranganathan, 2020**). Biofertilizers, a component of integrated nutrient management and are considered to be cost effective, eco-friendly and renewable source of non-bulky, low cost plant nutrient supplementing fertilizers in sustainable agriculture system in India. Therefore, the role of biofertilizers assumes a special significance in present context of very high costs of chemical **fertilizers ( Ref )**.

**The aim of study ????????????????**

### **Materials and Methods**

**A field experimental** was carried out during *Kharif* 2021 at Crop Research Farm, Department of Agronomy, SHUATS, Prayagraj, UP, which is located at 25.28°N latitude, 81.54°E longitude and 98 m altitude above the mean sea level. The experiment laid out in Randomized Block Design which consisting of nine treatments with T<sub>1</sub>: Rhizobium + Phosphorous 30kg/ha, T<sub>2</sub>: Rhizobium + Phosphorous 40kg/ha, T<sub>3</sub>: Rhizobium + Phosphorous 50kg/ha, T<sub>4</sub>: PSB + Phosphorous 30kg/ha, T<sub>5</sub>: PSB + Phosphorous 40kg/ha, T<sub>6</sub>: PSB + Phosphorous 50kg/ha, T<sub>7</sub>: Rhizobium + PSB +Phosphorous 30kg/ha, T<sub>8</sub>: Rhizobium + PSB +Phosphorous 40kg/ha, T<sub>9</sub>: Rhizobium + PSB +Phosphorous 50kg/ha are used.

**Please write of method application of bio-fertilizers ?????**

The experimental site was uniform in topography and sandy loam in texture, nearly neutral in soil reaction (PH 7.1), low in Organic carbon (0.38%), medium available N (225 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), higher available P (19.50 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and medium available K (213.7 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). In the period from germination to harvest several plant growth parameters were recorded at frequent intervals along with it after harvest several yield parameters were recorded those parameters are growth parameters, plant height, no. of nodules per plant, branches per plant and plant dry weight are recorded. The yield parameters like pods per plant, seeds

per pod, test weight, seed yield (kg/ha) and stover yield (kg/ha) were recorded and statistically analyzed using analysis of variance (ANOVA) as applicable to Randomized Block Design (Gomez K.A. and Gomez A.A. 1984).

## Results and Discussion

### Growth attributes

#### Plant height

**Data presented in Table (1) show that** significantly **increased value of** plant height (83.89 cm) was recorded in the treatment with Rhizobium + PSB + Phosphorous 50kg/ha over all the other treatments. However, the treatments with application of PSB + Phosphorous 50kg/ha (82.81 cm) and Rhizobium + PSB + Phosphorous 40kg/ha (83.02 cm) which were found to be at par with treatment Rhizobium + PSB + Phosphorous 50kg/ha as compared to all the treatments.

Phosphorus encourage formation of new cells, promote plant vigour and hastens leaf development, which help in harvesting more solar energy and better utilization of nitrogen, which help towards higher growth attributes **Mir et al. (2013)**.

#### Nodules/Plant

**Data presented in Table (1) show that** the treatment with Rhizobium + PSB + Phosphorous 50kg/ha was recorded with significantly highest **values** No. nodules/plant (24.84) over all the treatments. However, the treatments with PSB + Phosphorous 50kg/ha (23.18) and Rhizobium + PSB + Phosphorous 40kg/ha (24.02) which were found to be statistically at par with Rhizobium + PSB + Phosphorous 50kg/ha.

When phosphorus supply is limited, the availability of phosphorus and Nitrogen to chloroplast became limited ultimately affect the photosynthetic processes as well as photosynthate supply to nodules. The effect of phosphorus could be related to the finding by that it stimulates root growth and activity and nodule formation. **Mir et al. (2013)** found the similar results.

### **No. of Branches/Plant**

**Data presented in Table (1) show that the** maximum number of branches/plant (4.24) was observed in the treatment with Rhizobium + PSB + Phosphorous 50kg/ha. However, treatment with PSB + Phosphorous 40kg/ha (4.02), PSB + Phosphorous 50kg/ha (4.09) and Rhizobium + PSB + Phosphorous 40kg/ha (4.17) which was found to be statistically at par with Rhizobium + PSB + Phosphorous 50kg/ha.

Inoculation of biofertilizers stimulates activation of hormones which helps in shoot and root elongation and formation of new branches to the activation of hormones. Similar results were observed by **Bhat *et al.* (2005)**.

### **Plant dry weight (g/plant)**

**Data presented in Table (1) show that** the treatment with Rhizobium + PSB + Phosphorous 50kg/ha was recorded with significantly maximum dry weight (18.29 g/plant) over all the treatments. However, the treatments PSB + Phosphorous 50kg/ha (17.70 g/plant) and Rhizobium + PSB + Phosphorous 40kg/ha (18.01 g/plant) which were found to be statistically at par with Rhizobium + PSB + Phosphorous 50kg/ha.

The plants attained more vigour with phosphorus, due to adequate supply and availability of nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium and spacing in balanced combination, resulting in increased dry weight of the plant. The application of Phosphorus 50 kg/ha to black gram significantly increased dry matter production. **Kumar *et al* (2014)** noted the similar results. Inoculation of cowpea with biofertilizers by microorganisms helped the production of organic acids, chelating oxoacids from sugars, and exchange reactions in growth environment, the results were found to similar with **Vijayakumar *et al.* (2004)**.

## **Yield attributes and Yield**

### **Pods/plant**

Significantly Maximum Number of Pods/plant (9.24) was recorded with the treatment of application of Rhizobium + PSB + Phosphorous 50kg/ha over all the treatments. However, the treatments PSB + Phosphorous 50kg/ha (8.75) and Rhizobium + PSB + Phosphorous 40kg/ha (9.08) which were found to be statistically at par with Rhizobium + PSB + Phosphorous 50kg/ha.

Application of P increased the number of pods per plant might be due to the enhanced early vegetative growth in terms of higher leaf area, dry matter accumulation and vigorous root system resulted in more branches which consequently increased the number of pod bearing branches significantly. Similar findings were observed by **Singh *et al.* (2020)**.

### **Seeds/pod**

Significantly Maximum Number of Seeds/Pod (11.25) was recorded with the treatment of application of Rhizobium + PSB + Phosphorous 50kg/ha over all the treatments. However, the treatments PSB + Phosphorous 50kg/ha (10.47) and Rhizobium + PSB + Phosphorous 40kg/ha (10.88) which were found to be statistically at par with Rhizobium + PSB + Phosphorous 50kg/ha.

Significant increase in number of seeds/pod is due to increase in the availability of Nitrogen and phosphorous through Rhizobium and PSB bio fertilizer inoculation by which more seeds were produced due to increased rates of pods primordial production, similar results were found by **Nadeem *et al.* (2004)**.

### **Test weight (g)**

Significantly highest Test weight (131.36 g) was recorded with the treatment of application of Rhizobium + PSB + Phosphorous 50kg/ha over all the treatments. However, the treatments PSB + Phosphorous 50kg/ha (130.77 g) and Rhizobium + PSB + Phosphorous 40kg/ha (130.23 g) which were found to be statistically at par with Rhizobium + PSB + Phosphorous 50kg/ha.

### Seed yield (q/ha)

**Results data presented in Table (2) indicated that the seed yield value (828.65 kg/ha) was significantly increase as affected with the treatment application of Rhizobium + PSB + Phosphorous 50kg/ha over all the treatments. However, the treatments with PSB + Phosphorous 50kg/ha was (755.05 kg/ha) and with Rhizobium + PSB + Phosphorous 40kg/ha was (798.09 kg/ha) , which were found to be statistically at par with Rhizobium + PSB + Phosphorous 50kg/ha.**

The increase in seed yield due to phosphorus application is attributed to source and sink relationship. It appears that greater translocation of photosynthates from source to sink might have increased seed yield (**Patil et al. 2007**). Phosphorus increases yield due to its well-developed root system, increased N fixation and its availability to the plants and favorable environments in the rhizosphere. **Paes et al (2010)**.

### Straw yield (kg/ha)

**Results data presented in Table (2) show that value of straw yield (1282.58 kg/ha) was significantly increases as affected with the treatment application of Rhizobium + PSB + Phosphorous 50kg/ha over all the treatments. On the other hand , the straw yield value (1179.34 kg/ha) as affected with PSB + Phosphorous 50kg/ha and Rhizobium + PSB + Phosphorous 40kg/ha was (1233.71 kg/ha) straw yield , which found to be statistically at par with Rhizobium + PSB + Phosphorous 50kg/ha.**

Increase in yield attributes and yield through bio-fertilizer might be attributed to supply of more plant hormones (auxin, cytokinin, gibberellin etc.) by the microorganisms inoculated or by the root resulting from reaction to microbial population similar results were obtained by **Singh and Pareek (2003)**.

## Harvest Index (%)

**Data presented in Table (2) showed the** Harvest index (39.64%) was significantly highest **as affected** with the treatment application of Rhizobium + PSB + Phosphorous 50kg/ha over all the treatments. However, the treatments with PSB + Phosphorous 50kg/ha **was (38.84%)** and Rhizobium + PSB + Phosphorous 40kg/ha (39.24%) which were found to be statistically at par with Rhizobium + PSB + Phosphorous 50kg/ha.

Highest harvest index was observed due to improved cell activities, enhanced cell multiplication and enlargement and luxuriant growth and yield attributes of the crops probably due to more absorption and utilization of available nutrients leading to overall improvement of crop growth reflected to source-sink relationship, which in turn enhanced the yield attributes that ultimately more yield which was reported by **Togay *et al.* (2005)**.

## CONCLUSION

It is concluded that application of treatment Rhizobium + PSB + Phosphorous 50kg/ha was recorded significantly higher Seed yield (828.65/ha), higher gross returns (Rs.58005.5/ha), net returns (Rs.40635.8/ha) and benefit cost ratio (2.33) as compared to other treatments. Since, the findings based on the research done in one season.

**Recommendation : ????????**

## REFERENCES

- Anonymous, ???** 2018. Pulses Revolution from Food to Nutritional Security, Government of India, Department of Agriculture, Cooperation & Farmers Welfare, New Delhi.
- Bhat, S. A., O.V.S., Shivakumar, B. G. and Malik, J. 2005. Performance of summer greengram (*Vigna radiata* L.) as influenced by biofertilizers and Phosphorus nutrition. *Haryana Journal of Agronomy*. **21**(2):203-205.
- Kumar, S. Tomar, S. and Tomar, T. S. 2014. Integrated phosphorus management in black gram (*Vigna mungo*) in western Uttar Pradesh during summer season. *Annals of Agricultural Research*. 35(3): 290-297.
- Meena, L. R. Singh, R. K. and Goutam, R. C. Effect of moisture conservation practices, P levels and bacterial inoculation on yield and economic returns under dry land conditions. *Annals of Agriculture Research*, **23**(2): 284-288.
- Mir, A.N., Lal, S. B., Salmani, M., Abid, M. and Khan, I. 2013. Growth yield and nutrient content of Black gram (*vigna mungo*) as influence by levels of phosphorous, sulphur and phosphorous solubilizing Bacteria. *SAARCJ. Agri.*, **11**(1):1-6.
- Nadeem, M. A., Vikas singh, Dubey.R.K., Pandey.A.K., singh, B., Kumar, N. and Sudhakar Pandey., (2017). Influence of Phosphorous and Biofertilizers on growth and yield of cowpea(*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp.) in acidic soil of NEH region of India. *Legume Research* 3790 (1-4).
- Paese R. 2010. Effect of different levels of phosphorus and potassium on growth and development of mungbean. (*Vigna radiata* L.) Wilczek. *Indian Journal of Agriculture Research*.
- Patil, B.N., Lakkineni, K.C. and Bhargava, S.C. 1997. Onto genic changes in growth and assimilate distribution as influenced by N supply in rapeseed-mustard. *Journal of Agron. Crop. Sci.* 178: 15-21.
- Sammauria, R., Yadav, R. S. and Nagar, K. C. 2009. Performance of clusterbean (*Cyamopsis tetragonoloba*) as influenced by nitrogen and phosphorus fertilization and biofertilizers in Western Rajasthan. *Indian Journal of Agronomy*, **54**(3): 319-323.
- Singh, A., Tzudir, L. A. and Hangsing, N. 2020. Effect of spacing and levels of phosphorous on the growth and yield of Green gram (*Vigna radiata*) under rainfed condition of Nagaland. *Agricultural Science Digest – A Research Journal*, **40**(01).

Singh, B. and Pareek, R. G. 2003. Studies on phosphorus and bio inoculation on biological nitrogen fixation, Concentration uptake, quality and productivity of mungbean. *Annals of Agricultural Research*, **24**(3):537-541.

Sudhakar, E. and Ranganathan, P. 2020. Influence of Biofertilizer on the Growth and biochemical parameters of *Arachis hypogea* (L.). *International Journal of Recent Scientific Research*, **11**(11): 40169-40171.

Vijaykumar, B.S. and Lakshmi narasimhan, A.V. 2004. Mycorrhizal technology for increasing the yield of groundnut crop in semi-arid soils of Puttaparthi. *Journal of Ecobiology*, **16**: 191-195.

UNDER PEER REVIEW

**Table 1: Effect of Bio-fertilizers and Levels of Phosphorus on growth attributes of Cowpea**

Treatments	Plant height	Dry weight	Branches/plant	Nodules/plant
	(cm)	(g/plant)		
1. Rhizobium + Phosphorous 30kg/ha	77.23	15.55	3.63	16.34
2. Rhizobium + Phosphorous 40kg/ha	77.69	15.99	3.69	16.95
3. Rhizobium + Phosphorous 50kg/ha	80.57	16.98	3.89	21.05
4. PSB + Phosphorous 30kg/ha	78.16	16.40	3.76	18.23
5. PSB + Phosphorous 40kg/ha	81.27	17.25	4.02	22.22
6. PSB + Phosphorous 50kg/ha	82.81	17.70	4.09	23.18
7. Rhizobium + PSB +Phosphorous 30kg/ha	79.24	16.70	3.80	19.42
8. Rhizobium + PSB + Phosphorous 40kg/ha	83.02	18.01	4.17	24.02
9. Rhizobium + PSB + Phosphorous 50kg/ha	83.89	18.29	4.24	24.84
<b>F- test</b>	S	S	S	S
<b>S. EM (±)</b>	0.43	0.20	0.10	0.57
<b>C. D. (P = 0.05)</b>	1.29	0.59	0.30	1.71

**Table 2: Influence of Bio-fertilizers and Levels of Phosphorus on Yield attributes and Yield of Cowpea**

<b>Treatments</b>	<b>Pods/plant</b>	<b>Seeds/pod</b>	<b>Test Weight (g)</b>	<b>Seed yield (kg/ha)</b>	<b>Stover yield (kg/ha)</b>	<b>Harvest Index (%)</b>
1. Rhizobium + Phosphorous 30kg/ha	6.34	7.57	123.41	544.41	948.55	34.66
2. Rhizobium + Phosphorous 40kg/ha	6.84	7.99	124.52	591.09	986.46	35.48
3. Rhizobium + Phosphorous 50kg/ha	8.02	9.27	128.27	698.23	1098.85	37.27
4. PSB + Phosphorous 30kg/ha	7.23	8.32	126.61	625.78	1028.03	36.42
5. PSB + Phosphorous 40kg/ha	8.34	9.70	129.08	703.47	1148.90	37.83
6. PSB + Phosphorous 50kg/ha	8.75	10.47	130.23	755.05	1179.34	38.84
7. Rhizobium + PSB +Phosphorous 30kg/ha	7.71	8.79	127.72	669.40	1000.67	36.89
8. Rhizobium + PSB + Phosphorous 40kg/ha	9.08	10.88	130.77	798.09	1233.71	39.29
9. Rhizobium + PSB + Phosphorous 50kg/ha	9.24	11.25	131.36	828.65	1282.58	39.64
F test	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>
S. EM ( $\pm$ )	0.18	0.38	0.38	24.55	39.19	0.38
CD (P = 0.05)	0.54	1.15	1.15	73.60	117.49	1.14