

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_86268
Title of the Manuscript:	The Effect of Varying Aerosol Concentrations on Visibility and Particle Size Distribution in Urban Atmosphere: Validating OPAC Results Using MERRA Satellite Aerosol Data
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.6

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	This article is intriguing, but I recommend that you improve your English writing style for this document.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<b>#Title</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>It's better if you can rewrite the title of this manuscript in short form.</li></ul> <b># Abstract:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Write the keywords in alphabetical order.</li></ul> <b># Introduction</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>I recommend you to write the objective of this manuscript the last paragraph of the introduction section.</li></ul> <b># Methods</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>I ask you to design a schema can simplify the methods for better understanding.</li></ul> <b>#Results and discussions</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>The data in the figures 2, 4, 6, 8, 10 and 12 are designed in the bad manner; please can you do it in good way to be understood?</li></ul> <b>#Conclusion</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>You must to write the conclusion as well known in writing the manuscripts.</li></ul>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	This work has some interesting data. The authors attempted to offer a study on validating OPAC Results Using MERRA Satellite Aerosol Data The Effect of Varying Aerosol Concentrations on Visibility and Particle Size Distribution in the Urban Atmosphere.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hicham Es-Soufi
Department, University & Country	Université Moulay Ismail, Morocco