

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_86268
Title of the Manuscript:	The Effect of Varying Aerosol Concentrations on Visibility and Particle Size Distribution in Urban Atmosphere: Validating OPAC Results Using MERRA Satellite Aerosol Data
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Specific Comment to improve the quality of manuscript:</p> <p>1- The title is relevant, but it is somewhat confusing and sounds not interesting. I suggest rewriting the title to be clear, and more interesting.</p> <p>2- The aim of the research is clear and the main article matches the abstract. However, the research gap is not clear. Thus, I recommend writing a research gap.</p> <p>3- References are relevant, referenced correctly, and included appropriate key studies. However, some referees are not recent such as Ref [1], Ref [3], Ref [4], Ref [5], and Ref [8]. Therefore, I suggest replacing them with relevant as well as recent references.</p> <p>4- The process of the research methodology is not clear. Therefore, I suggest draw a work process or flow chart to explain the methodology.</p> <p>5- I suggest make a conclusion separate section , and inform the future research direction</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ali H. Wheeb
Department, University & Country	University Of Baghdad, Iraq