

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_86188
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Rice (<i>Oryza sativa</i> L.) Hybrids on Growth, and Yield under Agro-climatic Conditions of Prayagraj, U.P.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Globally, rice is now cultivated on 159 million hectares with annual production of around 748 million tonnes and average productivity of 4.68 tonnes/ha (Reference required)</p> <p>India has 44.2 million ha area with average productivity of 2.3 tonnes/ha and production of 118.87 million tonnes. (Reference required)</p> <p>In Uttar Pradesh 5.9 million ha with an average productivity of 2447 kg/ha and production of 14.63 million tonnes. (Reference required)</p> <p>*number of tillers per hill (15.07), better to write (15 instead of 15.07). number of filled grains per panicle (No.) (245 instead of 245.00), number of unfilled grains per panicle (No.) (48 instead of 48.33)</p> <p>Abstract contain phenological and economic data but those are absent in table (The minimum days to 50% flowering were recorded in UR-32 and minimum days to maturity were recorded in UR-34. Maximum gross returns (□ 1,76,172.00 /ha) and net returns (□ 1,22,126.00/ha) and B:C (2.25) ratio were recorded in UR-35 Hybrid.)</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Conclusion could be better	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ananya Ghosh
Department, University & Country	Brainware University, India