

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	http://ditdo.in/ijecc
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_85662
Title of the Manuscript:	Climate variability: perception and observation of local indicators as a guide for weather forecasting and farmer decision making (Case: Inhabitants of Beaniky and Antseky Ambovombe –Androy MADAGASCAR)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The paper intitled “perception and observation of local indicators as a guide for weather forecasting and farmer decision making” talks about a hot topic subject and in line with the focus of the journal.</p> <p>The paper is well written and has a sufficient length and a suitable arrangement. References are sufficient. This work seems to be a previous study made in 2020 based on a strong field work.</p> <p>Some comments must be taken into account:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. New references must be added especially in the introduction part, because the last reference cited dates from 2020. 2. All references in the main text must be rewritten in the journal format. 3. The part (presentation of the study area) does not have any reference! where does this information come from? 4. In the part (socio economic characteristics) in the last lines 02 references are absent with empty brackets. 5. In table.1, in the line (age) the sum of the percentages is not 100%, please recalculate this. 6. In table.1, in the line (subsistence activity) the sum of activities is not 44, please recalculate this. 7. Table.2 is stretched please reformat to be readable. 8. Table.4 is totally unreadable! 9. Please rewrite all tables in word format or from an excel sheet and don't pas them as pictures from old works. 10. After the discussion part we found a new title (climate indicators), is it in the right position? 11. Bibliographic part must be reformatted according to the journal conditions. 	
Minor REVISION comments	////	
Optional/General comments	///	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Atef Ahriz
Department, University & Country	Larbi Tebessi University, Algeria