

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_85506
Title of the Manuscript:	Seasonal incidence of ginger shoot borer (<i>Conogethes punctiferalis</i>) and its correlation with abiotic factors
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Need more explanation of statistical methods and procedures (software used, arc sine transformations, etc.). Separate the introduction into separate paragraphs and clearly state your hypothesis. May need to add more information to it as well. The conclusion needs more text about the implications of this research and further avenues of study. The conclusion section here is too brief. Is the 1% probability necessary? Probabilities of 5% are usually effective enough.	
Minor REVISION comments	Grammatical issues throughout the document. Proper paragraph structure is needed and proper capitalization for some words needed as well.	
Optional/General comments	This is an interesting paper which has the potential to assist ginger farmers. Go through the paper and make sure the text flows properly, use proper paragraph and sentence structure and I strongly suggest you expand on the conclusion. One sentence is much too brief.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	James Burke
Department, University & Country	University of Arkansas, United States of America