

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_85506
Title of the Manuscript:	Seasonal incidence of ginger shoot borer (<i>Conogethes punctiferalis</i>) and its correlation with abiotic factors
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link: (<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Abstract: The author should rewrite this section. The abstract should start with an introductory statement, not a site description as presented in the work The presentation should flow systematically i.e introductory statement, research problem/, objective, justification, materials and methods etc in that order</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -The author to show the research gap by providing data on losses caused by ginger shoot borer, how important is this pest? - Literature review is scanty, - The author to indicate the experimental design that was used and justify the selection of the design - I suggest that the author uses the abiotic factors to describe the pest prevalence as opposed to dates of planting. Since the title of the work is about abiotic factors. This will also enable other researchers to relate to the author's work under similar abiotic conditions - The research findings are provided but not discussed. The author should highlight the findings and explain why the incidences were high or low - The conclusion is too general, it is not based on the abiotic factors under study 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The author should indicate when data collection commenced for example after how many days after planting - Correct typos within the document 	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Since the data was pooled, it may not be necessary to provide data for seasons i.e. 2018, & 2019 - In the correlation tables 3 &4 the author should show abiotic factors (temperature, relative humidity) for ease of relating the research findings 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Grace Opetu Oloo-Abucheli
Department, University & Country	Chuka University, Kenya