

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_85315
Title of the Manuscript:	Diversity and Distribution of Callosobruchus spp. attacking stored Chickpea in Northern tracts of West Bengal.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The method to obtain the grain samples generates some questions about the grain origin and its initial infestation, the grain handle within the groceries store, the sale procedures, and so on.</p> <p>The experiment was no replicated; it is desirable to repeat twice (at least) the experiment.</p> <p>From the study background it is not clear if only Callosobruchus damages the grain or if there are other insects affecting the chickpea grain; the second assumption means that diversity of one species may depend on the relationship with other species living on the same habitat (chickpea grain).</p> <p>Consequently, biodiversity in stored conditions should be calculated with the data from ALL species found in the grain sample.</p> <p>About the results, the author (s) shows the values of several diversity indices, however, do not give any explanation of them; What does mean that Shannon-Wiever index was higher in the X district than in Y district, for example?</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	There are a few minor corrections in the text	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rodolfo Velásquez-Valle
Department, University & Country	México