

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_83836
Title of the Manuscript:	Growth Performance of Principal crops in Karnataka state in India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The authors must develop the abstract section as follow Problem, Objectives, Methods, Main results, Main recommendation. I suggest the Authors: This paper seems to be covering a very interesting topic, investigating the Growth Performance of Principal crops in Karnataka state in India. While the topic is potentially interesting, I found this paper nearly challenging to read because the language was particularly difficult. Many sentences seemed to try to smash together 3 or 4 ideas into a single, awkward sentence that used a variety of clauses to try to link the ideas. I found myself having to reread nearly every sentence to extract meaning. The Literature Section is missing. I recommend the authors to present the novelty of this research compared to previous research. The authors must improve the conclusion and the recommendation to help the researchers in this filed.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Moataz Eliw
Department, University & Country	Al-Azhar university, Egypt