

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_83798
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of Nutrient Management Practices on DTPA Soil Micronutrients and its Relation with Soil pH and Cation Exchange Capacity in Pearl millet (Pennisetum glaucum L.) Cultivated Soils of Western India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Introduction</b></p> <p>The authors provide adequate background on the topic and reason for this article.</p> <p><b>Materials and Methods</b></p> <p>There is detailed information on the experiment and research</p> <p>The authors approach the subject with the proper method</p> <p>The sample data and design clearly outlined. The readers can use the information given to replicate the research. All the measurements, equipment and materials adequately described</p> <p><b>Results</b></p> <p>The results presented in a clear manner The authors provide accurate research result There are sufficient evidences for each result</p> <p><b>Conclusion</b></p> <p>The research provides ample data for the authors to make their conclusion.</p> <p>The authors highlighted their future implications and usage of their research.</p> <p><b>Tables and Figures</b></p> <p>The tables and figures used in the manuscript of high quality and original.</p> <p>They properly referred to in the text</p> <p><b>Grammar</b></p> <p>The English used in the manuscript easy to understand and properly used. I have highlighted any minor grammar or punctuation issues that should be resolved by the authors.</p>	
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	<p>Minor correction, read my comment in MS File</p> <p>Essentially, the paper need more references and to add in depth discussion</p>	

**Review Form 1.6**

<b>Optional/General</b> comments		
----------------------------------	--	--

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Darwin Pangaribuan</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Universitas Lampung, Indonesia</b>