

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_83297
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of irrigation scheduling and sowing windows on yield and yield attributes of wheat
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Abstract: Satisfied Mentioned more keywords</p> <p>Introduction Spelling and grammatical errors should be removed throughout the text, like proper Punctuation, articles, connective words, etc. According to journal needs, the manuscript's homogeneity should be maintained for font size and style, line spacing, paragraph justification, the caption of the table, units, etc., should be in a standardized format.</p> <p>Material and method: It will be more detailed It is also mention which statistic tool is used in MS for analysis.</p> <p>Result and Discussion Biological yield discussion was missing in (Effect of irrigation scheduling) The latest review should be added for the effect of cultivars. Mentioned the unit of grain yield, straw yield etc. Note should be mentioned in the table for the abbreviation for more clarification. Homogeneity should be maintained for all abbreviations, For example: somewhere it is written as 'ETc, other times as 'Etc written in table 1, and in abstract cultivar mentioned as C1 but in Table, it is mentioned as V1.</p> <p>References Some references are missed like, Sardana et al 1999 etc. all detail is mentioned in MS with a Note sticker. According to a journal, references should be written in the same format and text. In addition, reference should be rechecked; one connection is missing, and one reference name is mentioned wrong in MS.</p> <p>Overall:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The manuscript needs some minor reviews before being entirely acceptable for publication 	
Optional/General comments	<p>I have to go through with this review paper; everything is written well, some minor formatting is needed. After formatting the report, it is ready to be published</p> <p>The manuscript needs standard formatting before being entirely acceptable for publication.</p>	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bhagyashree keshewani
Department, University & Country	Prof. Rajendra Singh State University, India