

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_83042
Title of the Manuscript:	Correlation studies of growth, yield and nutrient content of wheat grown under different date of sowing and varieties
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The authors must develop the abstract section as follow Problem, Objectives, Methods, Main results, Main recommendation. I suggest the Authors: This paper seems to be covering a very interesting topic, investigating the Correlation studies of growth, yield and nutrient content of wheat grown under different date of sowing and varieties . While the topic is potentially interesting, I found this paper nearly challenging to read because the language was particularly difficult. Many sentences seemed to try to smash together 3 or 4 ideas into a single, awkward sentence that used a variety of clauses to try to link the ideas. I found myself having to reread nearly every sentence to extract meaning. The authors must improve the conclusion and the recommendation to help the researchers in this filed.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Moataz Eliw Mostafa Ahmed
Department, University & Country	Al-Azhar university- Assuit, Egypt