

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_81207
Title of the Manuscript:	Seed yield and economic returns in coriander in relation to different nutrient combinations.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The authors coined the title as: Seed yield and economic returns in coriander in relation to different nutrient combinations. They conducted the field experiment at Horticulture complex Dept. of Horticulture, JNKVV, Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh, India.</p> <p>It was conducted during 2012-13. The Design of experiment was Asymmetrical Factorial RCBD with 3 replications. The experiment consisted of 12 treatments.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Hence, they reporting the result of the experiment conducted many years ago. This is telling a history, not an experimental research. The authors need to include the recent experimental results. ➤ They mentioned the name of the design Factorial RCBD, not clearly explained its performance and the corresponding result report. The need show the correspond result in tables and clearly interpret it. ➤ They have to correct some mechanical errors like the wording (example:...Deptt..., in MATERIALS AND METHODS section.) 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Getachew Tekle Mekiso
Department, University & Country	Wachemo University, Ethiopia