

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_80965
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of Climate Change on Arid Region: Case Study of Rajasthan
Type of the Article	Minireview Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ In section 3. Research Questions: Uniform the numbering of your paragraph. We have 1) and after, you write a, b and c. If a, b & c are sub-points of first question (1), we attempt to read second main question (with its sub point eventually). If you're just, one main question, you don't need to number it (1). ✓ For this figure 4 too, I suggest authors replace its first proposition by this one. The main difference with their proposition is the order. Here, we present first the Post-Monsoon 2019 and followed by Pre-Monsoon 2020. ✓ In my opinion, for the case of the effect of temperature on the evolution of covid19 cases would require that the study be conducted over at least 4 to 6 seasons to draw conclusions and show the direct or indirect effect of temperature on covid19. ✓ Concerning Figure 5 comment: The period should take 2 or 3 years. But here, you just focus on three months and you tend to make conclusion as temperature affect covid evolution. ✓ Authors should also revise References. ✓ Globally, maps should be reviewed. I replaced some of them if authors agree with. English should be revised too. 	✓
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Silga Rimwaodo Pierre
Department, University & Country	University Joseph Ki-Zerbo, Burkina Faso