

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_80388
Title of the Manuscript:	Exploration of Genetic Parameters of Pea Genotypes Using Different Environmental Conditions
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Manuscript is well written. Few minor corrections required as mentioned in the manuscript (see related comments). ▪ The well-done manuscript follows a logical scientific methodology. Except that it is still necessary to check the instructions to the authors for the distribution of the axes of documents. ▪ in the abstract, some points are missing concerning the target varieties of the genotypes studied (...genotypes of field pea included two check variety KN-5 and JP-885 were grown in a Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD)) ▪ In all the article The genus of the species must be in italic format, the same remark for the names of the species. to be checked for the whole manuscript (For example the words in yellow highlights). ▪ In the manuscript you must unify the writing of the references either all in capital letters or only for the first letter of the names of the authors et al. is to be written in italics. ▪ When you come to present each sample, put a title called E1, then start the presentation of the results which concerns it and the discussion, once start the other sample (E2, E3 ...) you must titrate it before discussing it for make it easier to read text messages. ▪ Concerning the reference part must be further developed especially in the discussion part. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ add the study area in the Key words. ▪ I prefer to use the statistical calculation formulas for the calculation of Phenotypic and genotypic coefficient of variances : Cited the article (page 2069): Lokesh Gour, R.K. Dubey, P.K. Moitra, S.K. Singh, S.S. Shukla and Tiwari, S. 2018. Genetic Parameters Exploration of Pea Genotypes using Two Environmental Conditions. Int.J.Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci. 7(9): 2067-2078. doi: https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2018.709.252 	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Reassemble the table 1 and 2 just after its citation in order to facilitate reading. ▪ You still have to develop the discussion part to value your work more and look for more recent work ▪ you must follow the instructions to authors (see template of article in the journal) 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Talbi Fatima Zahra
Department, University & Country	Hassan First University, Morocco