

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_79861
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF TILLAGE AND WEED MANAGEMENT PRACTICES ON YIELD AND ECONOMICS OF MAIZE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Add objective(s) of the study 2. Add method of data collection and method of statistical analysis 3. Each reference mentioned in the text must be present in the reference list and vice versa. 4. Conclusion need correction based on objective(s) of the study. 5. Add more data on yield and yield components of maize (If possible) 	
Minor REVISION comments	As mentioned in the body of the manuscript.	
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Title clear. 2. The abstract summarizes the main contents of the manuscript. 3. The rationale of the work is sufficiently sound. 4. Discussions on results are appreciable. 4. The English of the text, sentence construction and/or wording are satisfactory. <p>Overall, the paper is well written but the author(s) have to revise it based on above mentioned comments per section and suggestions on the body of the text. It is encouraged to keep it mind about avoiding plagiarism during revision of the manuscript.</p>	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Subrota Kumer Pramanik</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Hajee Mohammad Danesh Science And Technology University, Bangladesh</i>