

**Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_79747
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF ORGANIC MANURES AND INORGANIC FERTILIZER ON THE LEAF CHARACTERS OF BANANA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<p>Need details about Microbial Consortia were used in this study. Because one or more bacterial species will give a beneficial effect of plant growth. So the justification is in need about Microbial Consortia and their beneficial effect on the experimental plant.</p> <p>Microbial Consortia is a commercial product or laboratory cultured one?</p> <p><b>After successfully completing this research work without a clear idea how can the research team suggest to formers or any other persons. Without exposing the microbial consortium secret the research purpose won't fulfil.</b></p> <p>The research team designed the work in a good manner and gave relevant result according the work design. I recommend this article for publication.</p>	
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	<p>Banana is an Annual crop. In this research work didn't complete till harvesting. Is the combination of the manures those were mention in this research work was only support to leaf growth and chlorophyll alone.</p> <p>Need statistical significance for results.</p>	
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	<p>The research design and results interpreted were good</p>	

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b><i>S.Umavathi</i></b>
Department, University & Country	<b><i>J.K.K.Nataraja College Of Arts And Science, India</i></b>