

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_79710
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact OF DIFFERENT ENRICHED N2 SOURCES ON NITROGEN USE EFFICIENCY INDICIES IN HYBRID RICE
Type of the Article	Original research papers

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1. ARTICLE TITLE: The expression of N₂ SOURCES is wrong, it should be called nitrogen source</p> <p>2. ABSTRACT: (1)Before narrating the research results in the abstract, it is necessary to supplement the experimental information in detail.(2) Be sure to add the unit after the specific value.(3) Finally, the best treatment of this research needs to be explained</p> <p>3. MATERIALS AND METHODS: The treatment adopted by the research is feasible, but it needs to be supplemented and explained completely. (1)First, it is necessary to supplement the longitude and latitude, climate type, and annual weather data information of the test site.(2)There are a lot of acronyms "RDN" in the text, please add a detailed description.(3) It is also necessary to supplement the specific application amount information of nitrogen sources such as neem urea.(4) Please explain the transplanting, harvest date, and planting density of the rice.(5) Please briefly describe the method of measuring nitrogen uptake by rice.(6) The information about Table 1 and Table 2 is not mentioned in the text, please give a brief introduction.</p> <p>4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION:(1) According to the description of each index of nitrogen utilization efficiency,It is necessary to set the corresponding title.(2)The unit needs to be added after the specific value, and the other parts also need to be done.</p> <p>5. CONCLUSIONS: The conclusion is not just a repetition of the research results, but a comprehensive evaluation of the treatment that can achieve the best results in actual production, and it is best to look forward to further research ideas.</p> <p>6. REFERENCES : The reference documents are relevant and sufficient, but the format of the reference documents is not standardized. Please carefully check and modify according to the requirements of the magazine.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>1. INTRODUCTION: The ending sentence should explain the shortcomings of the current research and the research significance of this article.</p> <p>2. TABLE: (1)All tables in the text should adopt the three-line table format.(2)The processing name in the table can be replaced by abbreviations, and the processing name should be commented in detail at the bottom of the table. (3) Centering the title of the table and unifying the alignment of the text in the table are all helpful to the beauty of the table.</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>1. ARTICLE TITLE:The article title format is not standardized and needs to be corrected.</p> <p>2. Keywords : The keywords are few and general, it is recommended to add the name of the specific nitrogen source.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jun Ma
Department, University & Country	Yangzhou University, China