

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_78689
Title of the Manuscript:	An empirical analysis of impact of COVID-19 disruptions on agricultural activities in Nalgonda district of Telangana, India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">In the abstract it is mentioned that the major constraints reported by almost all the farmers (99.2%) were financial problems primarily due to loss of wage work, repayment of informal loans (83%), fear of contracting COVID-19 (92%) and health of family members (89%) which adversely affected their work efficiency but it is not depicted in % in the graph i.e. Figure 3 "Impact of COVID-19 on family welfare". It should be clearly mentioned. (Highlighted in yellow)Suggestions of the study as per the objective must be mentioned clearly which is also missing research paper.Grammatical mistakes as well as incorrect framing of sentence are noted and marked accordingly. <p>(All Compulsory revisions are marked in red)</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">Framing of sentence needs revision and such revision is highlighted in YELLOW	
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">Try to incorporate research question in the paper. Moreover, Figure 4: Measures to reduce COVID-19 disruptions to agricultural activities needs more clear explanation.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rajpol Bharadwaj
Department, University & Country	Arunachal University of Studies, India