

**Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_78523
Title of the Manuscript:	PHENOTYPIC SCREENING OF F4 BREEDING LINES AGAINST BACTERIAL BLIGHT DISEASE IN RICE
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>Manuscript entitled: "PHENOTYPIC SCREENING OF F<sub>4</sub> BREEDING LINES AGAINST BACTERIAL BLIGHT DISEASE IN RICE" is correctly prepared and stated some interesting investigations about the important role of phenotypic selection in achieving not only NIL resistance to diseases, but also high yield potential, as well as representing an effective way in which to enhance BB resistance in rice planting.</p> <p>The authors of the manuscript investigate the performance of introgression lines in glass house, and their grain quality attributes following the concept of phenotypic selection, in order to select superior, improved lines which are resistant to BB disease and which offer high yield potentials and attribute qualities. However, I suggest that a few minor points in the manuscript should be clarified before the manuscript is accepted.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Please in Abstract section use Bacterial leaf blight instead of BB</li> <li>2. Reviewing English writing</li> </ol> <p>Please make sure that the manuscript is thoroughly reviewed. Numerous typos and syntax issues are still present.</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

[Review Form 1.6](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ghassen Abid</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Centre of Biotechnology of Borj-Cedria, Tunisia</b>