

## Original Research Article

# BULK DENSITIES OF SOILS FROM OIL PALM AGROFORESTRY SYSTEMS IN KOGI EAST, NIGERIA

Comment [AS1]: DENSITY

Comment [AS2]: Delete

Comment [AS3]: Delete

## ABSTRACT

This study was conducted to assess the bulk densities and textural class of soils of selected oil palm agroforestry systems in Kogi East (Ankpa, Dekina, Ofu, Olamaboro and Omala local government areas- LGAs), Nigeria. Random soil sampling was used to collect a total of 100 core samples from the five LGAs (20 from each of the LGA). Soil bulk density was determined using core sampler technique. Data collected were analysed using Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) of GENSTAT Discovery Software. Significant means were separated using Duncan Multiple Range Test at 5 % level of probability. The results revealed that the soil bulk densities of the oil palm agroforestry in Kogi East, Nigeria are within moderate range of 1.15-1.47 g/cm<sup>3</sup>. Most of the soils in the studied locations were observed to be sandy clay: Ofu and Olamaboro LGAs were sandy clay while Dekina, Ankpa, Omala were loamy sand, sand, and sandy loam respectively.

Comment [AS4]: Density

Comment [AS5]: density

Comment [AS6]: was

Comment [AS7]: at the diffrent

Comment [AS8]: Comma after this

Comment [AS9]: Comma after this

Keywords: Agroforestry, Soil Physical Properties, Soil Management, Tillage

Comment [AS10]: Agroforestry system, Bulk Density, Locations, Textural class

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Bulk density of soils within thresholds can contribute to the capacity of the soils to function in terms of soil aeration, structural support, water and solute movement. This implies that bulk densities of soils that are above thresholds could lead to impaired soil function. Based on soil texture, ideal bulk density for plant growth are < 1.60, < 1.40, and < 1.10 g/cm<sup>3</sup> for sandy, silty, and clayey soils respectively while bulk densities that restricts plant growth are >1.80, >1.65, and >1.47 for sandy, silty, and clayey soils respectively (Aubertin & Kardos, 1965; Jones, 1983; Arshad *et al.*, 1996). High values of soil bulk density can result to soil compaction (Al-Shammary *et al.*, 2018). Soil compaction can limit biochemical processes and microbial

Comment [AS11]: density

Comment [AS12]: is

Comment [AS13]: Comma after this

Comment [AS14]: Comma after this also

Comment [AS15]: density

Comment [AS16]: as

Comment [AS17]: soil,

Comment [AS18]: and

Comment [AS19]: italic

activities, restrict root growth, negatively affect the movement of air and water through the soil profile, decrease plant growth and crop yield including reduction of vegetative cover available to protect soil from erosion (Chaudhari, et al., 2013; Keesstra et al., 2016; Al-Shammary et al., 2018).

Comment [AS20]: Delete

Comment [AS21]: Delete

Comment [AS22]: Italic

Comment [AS23]: Italic

Comment [AS24]: Italic

Agroforestry is a land use system that combines agriculture (crops and/or livestock) and forestry (trees and shrubs) on the same land management unit (World Agroforestry Centre, 2102). Agroforestry as an integrated and sustainable land use system can provide food and income for the farmer as well as can contribute to environmental sustainability. Agroforestry systems are source of nutrition as well as additional income for farmers engaged in it - the farmers are gainfully employed with reduced level of poverty and enhanced livelihoods. The sales of food crops as well as timber and non-timber products by the farmers in AFS can promote sustainable development (Amadu et al., 2020; Elagib & Al-Saidi, 2020; World Agroforestry Centre, 2012). Furthermore, the diversification of farm outputs in an agroforestry system is helpful in the reduction of risks from total crop failure compared to monoculture system in periods of extreme weather events including floods and droughts (Cornell & Miller, 2011). The environmental benefits of agroforestry systems (AFS) include water quality management - the roots of trees in AFS can reduce nitrogen and phosphorus residues in soils and also the reduction in the use of inputs such as fertilizers and pesticides in AFS can reduce water contamination and eutrophication (Pavlidis & Tsihrintzis, 2018); conservation of biodiversity by providing habitat for biodiversity to live and breed (Nair, 2011); soil quality improvement through carbon sequestration, enhancement of soil nutrient cycling, soil structure, soil moisture retention (Pardon et al., 2017). Oil palm AFS have been reported to improve soil physical properties such as bulk density (Agriani et al., 2021). On the other hand, the findings of Khasanah et al (2020) and Rahmani et al (2021) revealed that oil palm Agroforestry AFS can provide economic and environmental benefits. This study was conducted to assess the soil bulk densities and textural class of selected oil palm agroforestry systems in Kogi East. Knowledge

Comment [AS25]: Not clear

Comment [AS26]: Italic

Comment [AS27]: and

Comment [AS28]: and

Comment [AS29]: and

Comment [AS30]: and

Comment [AS31]: Italic

Comment [AS32]: Italic

Comment [AS33]: Italic and after al comma

Comment [AS34]: italic

Comment [AS35]: density

Comment [AS36]: of soil

from this study will provide insights on the ability of the soils of the selected oil palm agroforestry systems in Kogi East Nigeria to provide structural support, soil aeration, water and solute movement.

## 2. METHODOLOGY

### 2.1 Study Location

This study was conducted in selected oil palm agroforestry systems in Kogi East (5 local government areas: Ankpa, Dekina, Ofu, Olamaboro and Omala). Study locations were comprised of 4 communities in each LGA. The communities within Ankpa were Odagbo, Oje Elanyi, Ojogobi Olaji, and Okabo. For Dekina LGA, the following communities were selected: Anyigba, Egume, Dekina, and Odu Ogbaloto. The communities selected for Ofu LGA were Ejule, Ochadamu, Ogbulu, and Ugwolawo. Olamaboro was comprised of Ejoka, Igoti-Ade, Ubalu, and Unobe while communities selected from Omala LGA were Ajedibo, Ajomakoji, Odumukpo, and Okugba.

Comment [AS37]: Site of study

Comment [AS38]: Delete

Comment [AS39]: Selected as

Comment [AS40]: from

Comment [AS41]: with

Comment [AS42]: Comma after this

### 2.2 Soil Sampling and Analysis

Random soil sampling technique was employed in the collection of samples. For bulk density, core samplers of known weight, height and diameter were used to collect a total of 100 core samples from the five LGAs (20 from each of the LGA). For particle size analysis, soil auger were used to collect surface samples (0-15 cm depth). Also, a total of 100 samples (20 from each of the LGA) were collected for particle size analysis but were bulked to 60 samples (12 composite samples per LGA). The prepared soil samples were analyzed at the Department of Soil and Environment Management, Faculty of Agriculture, Kogi State University Anyigba for bulk density and particle size distribution.

Comment [AS43]: for

Comment [AS44]: was

#### 2.2.1 Determination of Bulk Density

The soil bulk density was determined using core sampler technique. Where samples contained in the core rings of known weight, height and diameter were weighed and the fresh

weight recorded, then oven dried at 105°C for 24hours which the dried weight were also recorded (Al-Shammary et al., 2018).

Comment [AS45]: Comma after this

Comment [AS46]: Italic

### 2.2.2 Particle size analysis

A fifty grams (50g) sample of the soil was weighed into a beaker and 50ml of Calgon (35.7g) sodium hexametaphosphate and 7.94g sodium carbonate into 1 litre of distilled water) was added into the beaker. Ten milliliters (10ml) of de-ionized water was added into a beaker. It was stirred and allowed to stand for 30 minutes. It was then transferred into a measuring cylinder and de-ionized water was added to make up to a litre volume. The temperature was measured as  $T_1$ , the sample was mixed with a plunger ten (10) times and the hydrometer was dropped immediately into the suspension. The reading of the hydrometer was also taken after 40 seconds as  $H_1$  and after two hours as  $H_2$ . At this point, the temperature reading was taken as  $T_2$ . The percentage sand, silt and clay was determined according to Bauder (1985). The textural classes of the soils was determined using the USDA textural triangle classification system for soil (USDA, 2008).

Comment [AS47]: ?

Comment [AS48]: were

### 2.3 Statistical Analysis

Data collected were analysed using Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) of GENSTAT Discovery Software. Significant means were separated using Duncan Multiple Range Test at 5 % level of probability.

## 3.0 RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

### 3.1 Results

#### 3.1.1 Particle Size Analysis of Soils from Oil Palm Agroforestry Systems in Kogi East, Nigeria

Comment [AS49]: Percentage of Soi

Table 1 shows the particle size analysis of soils from oil palm agroforestry systems (AFS) in Kogi East, Nigeria. The results revealed that two locations (Ofu and Olamaboro LGAs) had the same textural class of sandy clay. Soils of Dekina AFS were loamy sand, Omala were

sandy loam while Ankpa soils were sand. The sand, silt and clay composition of Ofu were 59.52 %, 4.28 %, and 36.20 % respectively. Olamaboro had sand, silt and clay composition of 60.52 %, 4.28% and 35.20 % respectively while Dekina had 76.02 %, 3.18 %, and 20.80 % of sand, silt, and clay respectively. Omala had a composition of 64.12 % sand, 22.66 % silt and 13.22 % clay while Ankpa had a sand, silt and clay % composition of 88.02%, 3.96% and 8.02 % respectively (see Table 1).

**Table 1: Particle per cent and texture of soil from Oil Palm Agroforestry Systems in Kogi East, Nigeria**

Location	Sand	Silt	Clay	Textural class
	← % →			
Ankpa	88.02	39.60	8.02	Sand
Dekina	76.02	3.18	20.8	Loamy Sand
Ofu	59.52	4.28	36.20	Sandy Clay
Olamaboro	60.52	4.28	35.20	Sandy Clay
Omala	64.12	22.66	13.22	Sandy Loam

**3.1.2 Soil Bulk Density of Oil Palm Agroforestry Systems in Kogi East, Nigeria**

- Comment [AS50]: Comma after this
- Comment [AS51]: Delete
- Comment [AS52]: delete
- Comment [AS53]: After this comma
- Comment [AS54]: 60.52, 4.28
- Comment [AS55]: Comma after this
- Comment [AS56]: Comma before this
- Comment [AS57]: 76.02, 3.18
- Comment [AS58]: After this comma
- Comment [AS59]: Comma after this
- Comment [AS60]: 88.02, 3.96
- Comment [AS61]: Comma after this
- Comment [AS62]: delete
- Comment [AS63]: Delete

- Comment [AS64]: Delete

- Comment [AS65]: Bulk density of soil ffrom
- Comment [AS66]: Delete

The results obtained from the assessment of soil bulk densities of oil palm agroforestry systems in Kogi East, Nigeria showed that there was no significant difference in the bulk densities in the soils of the various communities in Ankpa, Dekina, and Omala (Table 2). Significant differences in soil bulk densities were observed in communities within Ofu and Olamaboro LGAs.

**Comment [AS67]:** Delete

**Comment [AS68]:** Density of soil of various locations as

**Comment [AS69]:** However, the significance differences in bulk density were also observed in the soil of

**Ankpa Local Government Area:** The values of soil bulk densities of oil palm agroforestry systems of Ankpa were within 1.35-1.42 g/cm<sup>3</sup>. Odagbo, Oje-Elanyi, Ojogobi Olaji, and Okabo had bulk densities of 1.36, 1.42, 1.35, and 1.39 g/cm<sup>3</sup> respectively.

**Comment [AS70]:** density

**Comment [AS71]:** density

**Comment [AS72]:** Comma after this

**Comment [AS73]:** density

**Comment [AS74]:** was

**Comment [AS75]:** the range of

**Comment [AS76]:** density

**Comment [AS77]:** Comma after this

**Dekina Local Government Area:** Bulk densities of Dekina soils were within 1.27-1.40 g/cm<sup>3</sup>. Anyigba, Dekina, Egume, and Odu Ogbaloto had bulk densities of 1.36, 1.40, 1.27, and 1.40 g/cm<sup>3</sup> respectively.

**Omala Local Government Area:** The oil palm agroforestry systems in Omala LGA had bulk densities within 1.41-1.47 g/cm<sup>3</sup>. Ajedibo, Ajomakoji, Odumukpo, and Okugba had bulk density of 1.44, 1.47, 1.41, and 1.45 g/cm<sup>3</sup> respectively.

**Comment [AS78]:** density

**Comment [AS79]:** showed the

**Comment [AS80]:** Comma after this

**Ofu Local Government Area:** The bulk densities recorded from Ogbulu, Ugwolawo, and Ejule were 1.43 g/cm<sup>3</sup>, 1.37 g/cm<sup>3</sup>, and 1.32 g/cm<sup>3</sup> respectively in Ofu local government area. There was no significant difference between the soils of the three locations. Although Ochadamu had the lowest Bd (1.23 g/cm<sup>3</sup>), there was no significant difference between the value and that of Ejule soils (1.32 g/cm<sup>3</sup>). The oil palm agroforestry systems in Ofu LGA had bulk densities within 1.23-1.43 g/cm<sup>3</sup>.

**Comment [AS81]:** density

**Comment [AS82]:** as

**Comment [AS83]:** Delete

**Comment [AS84]:** Delete

**Comment [AS85]:** Comma before this

**Comment [AS86]:** and

**Comment [AS87]:** Delete

**Comment [AS88]:** density

**Comment [AS89]:** within the range of

**Olamaboro Local Government Area:** Bulk density results obtained from soils of Ejoka, Igoti Ade, Unobe, and Ubalu in Olamaboro local government area were 1.38 g/cm<sup>3</sup>, 1.28 g/cm<sup>3</sup>, 1.16 g/cm<sup>3</sup>, and 1.15 g/cm<sup>3</sup> respectively. There was no significant difference in the soil bulk densities of Ejoka and Igoti Ade. Lowest and no significant difference in bulk densities were observed in soils in Ubalu and Unobe. Bulk densities of Olamaboro soils were within 1.15-1.38 g/cm<sup>3</sup>.

**Comment [AS90]:** 1.38, 1.28, 1.16

**Comment [AS91]:** Comma after this

**Comment [AS92]:** However, there

**Comment [AS93]:** Density

**Comment [AS94]:** with

**Comment [AS95]:** density was

**Comment [AS96]:** of

**Comment [AS97]:** density

**Comment [AS98]:** was in the range of

**Table 2: Soil Bulk Densities of Soils from Oil Palm Agroforestry Systems in Kogi East, Nigeria**

Comment [AS99]: Bulk density of soil

Comment [AS100]: Delete

**Note:** Means in a column with different letters are statistically significant at 5 % level of probability. NS = Not significant; LSD = Least significant difference; Max = Maximum; Min = Minimum; SEM = Standard Error of Mean.

Local Government Area	Location of Oil Palm Agroforestry System	Bulk Density (g/cm <sup>3</sup> )	LSD	Statistics		
				Max	Min	SEM
Ankpa	Odagbo	1.36	0.1231NS	1.494	1.175	0.0399
	Oje Elanyi	1.42				
	Ojogobi Olaji	1.35				
	Okabo	1.39				
Dekina	Ayingba	1.36	0.1447 NS	1.509	1.152	0.0470
	Dekina	1.40				
	Egume	1.27				
	Odu Ogbaloto	1.40				
Ofu	Ogbulu	1.43a	0.1256	1.520	1.092	0.0408
	Ugwolawo	1.37a				
	Ejule	1.32ab				
	Ochadamu	1.23b				
Olamaboro	Ejoka	1.38a	0.1007	1.450	1.009	0.0327
	Igoti Ade	1.28a				
	Unobe	1.16b				
	Ubalu	1.15b				
Omala	Ajedibo	1.44	0.1397 NS	1.565	1.143	0.0453
	Ajomakoji	1.47				
	Odumukpo	1.41				
	Okugba	1.45				

## 3.2 DISCUSSIONS

### 3.2.1 Particle Size Analysis of Soils of Oil Palm Agroforestry Systems in Kogi East, Nigeria

Texture is an important soil property as it greatly affects crop production and soil quality. It plays a key role on soil nutrient and water retention including biological processes in the soil (Jaja, 2016; Alotaibi et al., 2018; Kurniawan et al., 2018). Most of the soils in the studied locations were observed to be sandy clay. The chemical and physical properties of sand soils are low (Hazelton & Murphy, 2016). Sandy soils have low organic moisture content (OMC), cation exchange capacity (CEC) and therefore low nutrient retention capacity (Walpolo and Arunakumara, 2010). On the other hand, the positive contribution of clay content of soils to soil bulk density have been reported in previous study (Heuscher et al., 2005). Nonetheless, soil compaction arising from higher clay content affects the growth, distribution, and function of roots, and crop productivity (Gregorich et al., 2011; Gregorich et al., 2014).

### 3.2.2 Soil Bulk Densities of Oil Palm Agroforestry Systems in Kogi East, Nigeria

The results obtained from all the studied locations revealed that the soil bulk densities of oil palm agroforestry systems in Kogi East were within the range of 1.15 -1.47 g/cm<sup>3</sup> which further indicate that the soils have a range of bulk density required for arable crop production. The critical value of bulk density for restricting root growth varies with soil type – Soil texture (Hunt and Gikes, 1992) but in general, bulk densities > 1.6 g/cm<sup>3</sup> tends to restrict root growth (Mckenzie et al., 2004). Based on soil texture, ideal bulk density for plant growth are < 1.60, < 1.40, and < 1.10 g/cm<sup>3</sup> for sandy, silty, and clayey soils respectively while bulk densities that restricts plant growth are >1.80, >1.65, and >1.47 for sandy, silty, and clayey soils respectively (Aubertin & Kardos, 1965; Jones, 1983; Arshad et al., 1996). It is generally desirable to have soils with low bulk density (<1.5 g/cm<sup>3</sup>) (Hunt & Gikes, 1992) for optimum movement of air and

Comment [AS101]: Texture

Comment [AS102]: Delete

Comment [AS103]: ,

Comment [AS104]: Italic

Comment [AS105]: Italic

Comment [AS106]: and

Comment [AS107]: matter

Comment [AS108]: has

Comment [AS109]: Italic

Comment [AS110]: Italic

Comment [AS111]: Italic

Comment [AS112]: Bulk density of soil from

Comment [AS113]: Delete

Comment [AS114]: density

Comment [AS115]: was

Comment [AS116]: Comma after this

Comment [AS117]: Small

Comment [AS118]: density

Comment [AS119]: Comma after this

Comment [AS120]: Comma before this

Comment [AS121]: density

Comment [AS122]: as

Comment [AS123]: Comma after this

Comment [AS124]: and

Comment [AS125]: and

water through the soil as well as suitability for root growth (Cresswell & Hamilton, 2002 ; Mckenzie *et al.*, 2004).

Comment [AS126]: and

#### 4.0 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

This study was conducted to assess the soil bulk density of selected oil palm agroforestry systems in Kogi East (5 local government areas: Ankpa, Dekina, Ofu, Olamaboro and Omala). Study locations were comprised of 4 communities in each LGA. Random soil sampling was used to collect a total of 100 core samples from the five LGAs (20 from each of the LGA). The soil bulk density was determined using core sampler technique. Where samples contained in the core rings of known weight, height and diameter were weighed and the fresh weight recorded, then oven dried at 105°C for 24hours which the dried weight were also recorded. Data collected were analysed using Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) of GENSTAT Discovery Software. Significant means were separated using Duncan Multiple Range Test at 5 %level of probability.

Comment [AS127]: The various

Comment [AS128]: Means vegetation or something else

Comment [AS129]: Comma after this

The results of the analysis of the soil bulk densities of the AFS showed moderate values of 1.15-1.47g/cm<sup>3</sup>. This implies that the soils of the agroforestry systems in Kogi East can enhances soil bulk density for crop production. Most of the soils in the studied locations were observed to be sandy clay: Ofu and Olamaboro LGAs were sandy clay while Dekina, Ankpa, Omala were loamy sand, sand, and sandy loam respectively.

Comment [AS130]: density

Comment [AS131]: Delete

Comment [AS132]: the

Comment [AS133]: Comma after this

Comment [AS134]: Comma after this

## REFERENCES

Agriani, F. F., Ranuda, R., Wawan, W., & Qomar, N. (2021). The Physical Properties of Soil in Palm Oil Agroforetrial System (*Elaeis Guineensis* Jacq.) with Aloes (*Aquilaria Malacensis* Lamk.) and In Palm Oil Monoculture System. *Jurnal Agronomi Tanaman Tropika (JUATIKA)*, 3(1), 15-28.

Comment [AS135]: and

Comment [AS136]: Check spellings

Alotaibi, K. D., Cambouris, A. N., St. Luce, M., Ziadi, N., & Tremblay, N. (2018). Economic optimum nitrogen fertilizer rate and residual soil nitrate as influenced by soil texture in corn production. *Agronomy Journal*, 110(6), 2233-2242.

Comment [AS137]: and

Al-Shammary, A. A. G., Kouzani, A. Z., Kaynak, A., Khoo, S. Y., Norton, M., & Gates, W. (2018). Soil bulk density estimation methods: a review. *Pedosphere*, 28(4), 581-596.

Comment [AS138]: and

Amadu, F. O., Miller, D. C., & McNamara, P. E. (2020). Agroforestry as a pathway to agricultural yield impacts in climate-smart agriculture investments: Evidence from southern Malawi. *Ecological Economics*, 167, 106443.

Comment [AS139]: and

Arshad, M. A., Lowery, B., and Grossman, B. (1997). Physical tests for monitoring soil quality. *Methods for assessing soil quality*, 49, 123-141.

Aubertin, G. M., & Kardos, L. T. (1965). Root growth through porous media under controlled conditions: I. Effect of pore size and rigidity. *Soil science society of America journal*, 29(3), 290-293.

Comment [AS140]: and

Comment [AS141]: Science Society

Bauder, J (1985). *Methods of Soil Analysis Part 2*. (Soil Science Society of America Monograph no 9). (Edited by Miller, R. H. and Keeney, D. R). Published by: American Society of Agronomy, Madison, Wisconsin, USA. 891 – 901 pp.

Chaudhari, P. R., Ahire, D. V., Ahire, V. D., Chkravarty, M., & Maity, S. (2013). Soil bulk density as related to soil texture, organic matter content and available total nutrients of Coimbatore soil. *International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications*, 3(2), 1-8.

Comment [AS142]: and

Cresswell, H.P., and Hamilton, (2002) Particle Size Analysis. In: Soil Physical Measurement and Interpretation For Land Evaluation. (Eds. NJ McKenzie, HP Cresswell and KJ Coughlan) CSIRO Publishing: Collingwood, Victoria. pp 224-239.

Cornell, J.D., Miller, M. (2011). "Agroforestry." In: Encyclopedia of Earth. Eds. Cutler J. Cleveland (Washington, D.C.: Environmental Information Coalition, National Council for Science and the Environment). Retrieved from <http://www.eoearth.org/article/Agroforestry>

Comment [AS143]: and

Elagib, N. A., & Al-Saidi, M. (2020). Balancing the benefits from the water–energy–land–food nexus through agroforestry in the Sahel. *Science of the Total Environment*, 742, 140509.

Comment [AS144]: and

Gregorich, E. G., Lapen, D. R., Ma, B. L., McLaughlin, N. B., & VandenBygaart, A. J. (2011). Soil and crop response to varying levels of compaction, nitrogen fertilization, and clay content. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, 75(4), 1483-1492.

Comment [AS145]: and

Gregorich, E. G., McLaughlin, N. B., Lapen, D. R., Ma, B. L., & Rochette, P. (2014). Soil compaction, both an environmental and agronomic culprit: Increased nitrous oxide emissions and reduced plant nitrogen uptake. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, 78(6), 1913-1923.

Comment [AS146]: and

Hazelton, P., & Murphy, B. (2016). Interpreting soil test results: What do all the numbers mean?. CSIRO publishing.

Comment [AS147]: and

Heuscher, S. A., Brandt, C. C., & Jardine, P. M. (2005). Using soil physical and chemical properties to estimate bulk density. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, 69(1), 51-56.

Comment [AS148]: and

Hunt, N., and Gilkes, R. (1992). Farm Monitoring Handbook. The University of Western Australia: Nedlands, WA.

Jaja, N. (2016). Understanding the Texture of Your Soil for Agricultural Productivity.

Jones, C. A. (1983). Effect of soil texture on critical bulk densities for root growth. *Soil Science*

Keesstra, S., Pereira, P., Novara, A., Brevik, E.C., Azorin-Molina, C., Parras-Alc'antara, L.,

- Jordan, A., Cerda, A. (2016). Effects of soil management techniques on soil water erosion in apricot orchards. *Sci Total Environ.* 551-552: 357–366. **Comment [AS149]:** and
- Khasanah, N., Van Noordwijk, M., Slingerland, M., Sofiyudin, M., Stomph, D., Migeon, A. F., & Hairiah, K. (2020). Oil palm agroforestry can achieve economic and environmental gains as indicated by multifunctional Land Equivalent Ratios. *Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems*, 3, 122. **Comment [AS150]:** and
- Kurniawan, S., Corre, M. D., Matson, A. L., Schulte-Bisping, H., Utami, S. R., Straaten, O. V., & Veldkamp, E. (2018). Conversion of tropical forests to smallholder rubber and oil palm plantations impacts nutrient leaching losses and nutrient retention efficiency in highly weathered soils. *Biogeosciences*, 15(16), 5131-5154. **Comment [AS151]:** and
- Rahmani, T. A., Nurrochmat, D. R., Hero, Y., Park, M. S., Boer, R., & Satria, A. (2021). Evaluating the feasibility of oil palm agroforestry in Harapan Rainforest, Jambi, Indonesia. *Forest and Society*, 458-477. **Comment [AS152]:** and
- Pardon, P., Reubens, B., Reheul, D., Mertens, J., De Frenne, P., Coussement, T., & Verheyen, K. (2017). Trees increase soil organic carbon and nutrient availability in temperate agroforestry systems. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, 247, 98-111. **Comment [AS153]:** and
- Pavlidis, G., & Tsihrintzis, V. A. (2018). Environmental benefits and control of pollution to surface water and groundwater by agroforestry systems: a review. *Water Resources Management*, 32(1), 1-29. **Comment [AS154]:** and
- McKenzie, N.J., Jacquier, D., Isbell, R., and Brown, K. (2004). 'Australian Soils and Landscapes – An illustrated compendium.' (CSIRO Publishing: Melbourne.)
- Nair, P. K. R. (2011). Agroforestry systems and environmental quality: Introduction. *Journal of Environmental Quality*, 40, 784–790.
- USDA, (2008). Texture. United State Department of Agriculture (USDA). Conservation service. World Agroforestry Centre (2012). "People and Agroforestry." *Trees On Farms: 2011 International Year of Forests Agroforestry*. Retrieved from <http://treesonfarms.com/agroforestry/people-and-agroforestry>
- Yang, Q., Luo, W., Jiang, Z., Li, W., & Yuan, D. (2016). Improve the prediction of soil bulk density by cokriging with predicted soil water content as auxiliary variable. *Journal of soils and sediments*, 16(1), 77-84. **Comment [AS155]:** Soils and Sediments
- Walpola, B.C., Arunakumara, K.K.I.U. (2010). Decomposition of Gliricidia leaves: the effect of particle size of leaves and soil texture on carbon mineralization. *Tropical Agriculture Research*, 13, 19-23. **Comment [AS156]:** and