

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_77078
Title of the Manuscript:	Characterisation and classification of soils from southern agro-climatic zones of Karnataka
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Please explain the motivation for the present study and its main objectives in the last part of the introduction? Please bring out the novelty of your research compared to the previous works (For eg: Prabhavati et al. 2017; Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science, Vol. 65, No. 1, pp 1-9 (2017) DOI: 10.5958/0974-0228.2017.00001.9) 2. Would you please add a geographic map of the study region? 3. Results and discussion section would be more informative if you could address the following questions: 4. Does the soil texture has a bearing on the water use efficiency of the crop? Similarly, you can add sections on how the soil organic carbon varies with the soil depth and its influence on the land use/landcover types. 5. In the conclusion section, please add the main results from the study first and then discuss the application of your research in sustainable land use. 	
Minor REVISION comments	Please check the typos in the manuscript.	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Deepa Raveendranpillai</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Florida Agricultural and Mechanical University, United States</i>