

# Effect of various sources and levels of sulphur on growth, dry matter production and nutrient uptake of Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.)

## ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted to assess the response of Indian mustard to various sources and levels of sulphur during *rabi* season of 2021 at College Farm, College of Agriculture, PJTSAU, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad. The experiment was carried out with three sources of sulphur (ammonium sulphate, gypsum and bentonite sulphur) and three levels of sulphur (20, 40 and 60 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup>) with one additional treatment (control *i.e.*, 0 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup>) in factorial concept and replicated thrice. Significant crop response was observed for plant height, leaf area index and dry matter production with application of ammonium sulphate among all the three sources. Among the levels of sulphur, the higher values of plant height, leaf area index and dry matter recorded on application of 60 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup>, which showed parity with 40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup>. The similar trend was also noticed for seed yield and nutrient uptake (N and S).

**Key words:** Sources, Levels, Plant height, LAI, Dry matter and nutrient uptake

## INTRODUCTION

Mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.) holds nearly ~~one a~~ third part (33.3 %) of the total oilseeds production in India (Kumar *et al.*, 2019). Mustard is the second important oilseeds crop grown during *rabi* both rainfed as well as under irrigated conditions. Mustard, a member of Cruciferae family is well known as rai, raya, laha in different parts of the country. Sulphur is the key element for oil, protein (Fe-S protein, called ferredoxine), vitamins (Biotine, Thiamine) and flavoured compounds synthesis in plant (Chattopaddhayay and Ghosh, 2012). Sulphur provides better nutritional and market quality to oilseed crops. The ~~sulphur deficiency~~ escalation ~~g sulphur deficiency~~ in Indian soils is the result of agricultural intensification with high yielding varieties and simultaneous adoption of multiple cropping systems with high analysis sulphur free fertilizers (Rathore *et al.*, 2015). In S- scarcity soil, the effectiveness of applied NPK fertilizers might be truly influenced and crop yield may not be feasible (Ahmad *et al.*, 2005). External application of sulphur fertilizer will ~~provide exert~~ a positive response in yield improvement. Among oilseeds, Indian mustard noticeably respond to sulphur fertilization. The growth, yield and quality of seed is majorly decided by sulphur. Presumably for these reasons, mustard crop needs supplementary quantity of sulphur for absolute growth, development

**Comment [IP1]:** Start with a brief statement related to the significance or the purpose of the study and the research problem investigated.

**Comment [IP2]:** Refine the abstract based on the general structure of article abstract, which is the aspect summarised as: (1) Research significance or the purpose of the study and the research problem(s) investigated; (2) brief methodology or basic design of the study; (3) major findings or trends found as the results of analysis and; (4) a brief conclusion as well as recommendation if necessary.

**Comment [IP3]:** Why is it in italic?

**Comment [IP4]:** Some reference(s) are needed here.

**Comment [IP5]:** Please, provide some more supporting references for this statement.

and yield. In mustard, seed and stover yield is markedly governed by sulphur levels (Sharma *et al.*,

2009). Therefore the present investigation was carried out to study the effect of sources and levels of sulphur on performance of mustard.

**Comment [IP6]: Optional suggestion:**  
Well covered the role of sulphur on Mustard and oilseeds but you can also support the study by introducing more on the effects of sulphur deficiency on chlorophyll production and/or protein synthesis (a short paragraph with reference would be enough).

UNDER PEER REVIEW

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted to study the **“Response of mustard [*Brassica juncea* (L.) Czern. and Cosson] crop to sources and levels of sulphur nutrition”** during winter (*rabi*) season of 2020-21 at the College Farm, College of Agriculture, Professor Jayashankar Telangana State Agriculture University, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad. The farm is geographically ~~positioned~~ located at 17°-19'." N latitude, 78° 23'." E longitude and at an altitude of 542.6 m above mean sea level.

**Comment [IP7]:** Bolding is not necessary

The weekly mean maximum temperature during the crop growth period (04.11.2020 to 16.02.2021) ranged from 26.4 °C to 31.7 °C with an average of 29.3 °C, while the weekly mean minimum temperature ranged from 11.1 °C to 18.6 °C with an average of 14.5 °C. The total rainfall received during the crop growth period was 2.8 mm.

**Comment [IP8]:** Geographic location is expressed in Degrees (°), minutes ('), seconds (").

**Comment [IP9]:** Use one font

The soil was found to be sandy loam in nature with slightly alkaline (pH 8.28), high in organic carbon content (0.78 %), low in available nitrogen (199.54 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), high in available phosphorus (33.60 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), high in available potassium (410.45 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and low in available sulphur content (9.74 ppm).

**Comment [IP10]:** Support this statement in introduction. Please, provide the impacts of temperature and precipitation/rainfall on your study.

**Comment [IP11]:** The total rainfall of 2.8mm sounds a bit insignificant

**Comment [IP12]:** This statement is looks like findings (results) instead of methodology.

The present study was conducted with factorial randomized block design with ten treatments and three replications. Each replication was splitted into ten equal parts and treatments were imposed in it. Basically, the sources and levels of sulphur fertilizer were used for experimental purpose. All the treatments were allocated randomly to the plots to avoid same treatments in nearby plots. The treatment combinations and symbols used were: T<sub>1</sub> (S<sub>1</sub>L<sub>1</sub>): Ammonium sulphate @ 20 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, T<sub>2</sub> (S<sub>1</sub>L<sub>2</sub>): Ammonium sulphate @ 40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, T<sub>3</sub> (S<sub>1</sub>L<sub>3</sub>): Ammonium sulphate @ 60 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, T<sub>4</sub> (S<sub>2</sub>L<sub>1</sub>): Gypsum @ 20 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, T<sub>5</sub> (S<sub>2</sub>L<sub>2</sub>): Gypsum @ 40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, T<sub>6</sub> (S<sub>2</sub>L<sub>3</sub>): Gypsum @ 60 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, T<sub>7</sub> (S<sub>3</sub>L<sub>1</sub>): Bentonite sulphur @ 20 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, T<sub>8</sub> (S<sub>3</sub>L<sub>2</sub>): Bentonite sulphur @ 40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, T<sub>9</sub> (S<sub>3</sub>L<sub>3</sub>): Bentonite sulphur @ 60 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and T<sub>10</sub> (S<sub>0</sub>L<sub>0</sub>): Control.

**Comment [IP13]:** What do you mean by “@”? does it signify “at”? so, why can't you use “at” or “per”?

The recommended fertilizer dose of 80:40:40 kg of N, P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup> was applied to all the treatments. Half of nitrogen, Phosphorus and Potassium were applied as basal, whereas rest of the nitrogen applied at 20 and 40 DAS. Nitrogen was applied in the form of urea (46 % N), Phosphorus as di-ammonium phosphate (46 % P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>) and potash as muriate of potash (60 % K<sub>2</sub>O), respectively. The sources of sulphur were ammonium sulphate (24 % S), gypsum (18.6 % S) and bentonite sulphur (90 %). All the sources of sulphur were applied basal.

**Comment [IP14]:** Is it a standard analysis methodology? If so, provide the reference(s) and some related studies conducted using the same approach.

**Comment [IP15]:** The same comment on this statement. Please, provide some supporting references for your methodology.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### Plant height (cm)

The scrutinised data (Table 1) revealed that, application of ammonium sulphate recorded the maximum plant height of 172.7 cm at harvest over gypsum (156.7 cm) and bentonite S (161.8 cm). It was found significantly superior than gypsum and bentonite S but the later two sources were on par with each other. The lowest plant height was recorded with control (147.8 cm).

At harvest, among levels of sulphur the plant height increased with each successive addition of sulphur and highest being recorded at 60 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> (169.6 cm) which was on par with 40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> (166.2 cm), whereas plant height of 155.3 cm was obtained at 20 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup>. The lowest height (147.8 cm) was noticed at control *i.e.*, 0 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup>. However, the variation in plant height due to 20 and 40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> were noteworthy.

This increased plant height might be due to the enhanced cell division, cell elongation, expansion and chlorophyll synthesis caused by sulphur nutrition. Sulphur also plays a crucial role in the meristematic tissue activity and shoot development, which help in increment of plant height. The increase in plant height could also be attributed due to improved root development as sulphur helps in increased uptake of other nutrients and more vegetative growth leading to taller plants. These findings are in accordance with those reported by Alam *et al.* (2014) and Begum *et al.* (2012).

### Leaf Area Index

Among the sources of sulphur examined, the highest leaf area index at 60DAS was recorded with the ammonium sulphate (3.58) which was significantly superior than gypsum (3.35) and bentonite S (3.39), whereas later two sources showed statistically parity. Control recorded least LAI of 3.05.

Among the levels of sulphur examined, the highest leaf area index (3.62) was recorded with the 60 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup>, which was on par with 40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> (3.51) followed by 20 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> (3.19) and the lowest LAI being recorded at control (3.05) at 60 DAS.

Leaf formation depends on tissue differentiation and expansion. Sulphur is a constituent of three essential amino acids *viz.*, cystine, cysteine and methionine, which helped in the growth of plant. It resembles N in its capacity to enhance cell division, cell

**Comment [IP16]:** In methodology section, there is no statement showing that your results analysis will consider "Plant height (cm)" as a part of results analysis and the main aspect in taking conclusion.

**Comment [IP17]:** In methodology section, there is no statement showing that the study will consider "Leaf Area Index" as a part of results analysis and the main aspect in taking conclusion. The same applies to other growth characters: "Dry matter Production", "Seed yield", "Nutrient uptake".

elongation and tissue differentiation. Thus, S fertilization has improved the number of leaves plant<sup>-1</sup>, which ultimately increased the LAI. These findings are in close proximity of Piri and Sharma (2006), Dongarkar *et al.* (2005) and Verma *et al.* (2018).

#### **Dry matter Production (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>)**

The mean differences of dry matter accumulation at harvest due to sources were found significant and ammonium sulphate significantly recorded highest (6561.3 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) compared to gypsum (5619.0 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and bentonite S (5731.9 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). Dry matter accumulation of 4790.0 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> was noticed in control plot. The percent increase in dry matter due to application of ammonium sulphate over control, gypsum and bentonite S were 36.9 %, 16.7 % and 14.4 % respectively.

Significantly highest dry matter accumulation (6229.9 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded at highest level of sulphur *i.e.*, 60 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, which was on par with 40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> (6048.0 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). The dry matter production at 20 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> was low (5634.3 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and lowest being at control (4790.0 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). The increment in dry matter accumulation was 30.0 %, 10.5 % and 3.0 % due to incorporation of 60 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> over control, 20 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> and 40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup>, at harvest.

Sulphur fertilization increased the available sulphur status of soils leading to higher S uptake, which promoted chlorophyll synthesis and dry matter production. Application of sulphur at higher levels were responsible for increased leaf area, which caused higher photosynthesis and assimilates. The metabolic activities were enhanced by S, which were responsible for overall growth characters and development of mustard. Better plant nutrition resulted in increased height and other growth parameters, which resulted in increased dry matter production. The increase in dry matter production might be ~~because of~~ resulted from the higher rate in protein synthesis and enhanced photosynthetic activity of the plant with increased chlorophyll synthesis due to fertilization with sulphur. The similar result was found by Rajput *et al.* (2018), Tatarwal *et al.* (2013) and Singh and Thenua (2016).

#### **Seed yield (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>)**

The seed yield was significantly responded by various sources and levels of sulphur. The highest seed yield was obtained due to application of ammonium sulphate (1507 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and was significantly superior to the other sulphur sources *i.e.*, bentonite

**Comment [IP18]:** The comment above similarly applies here.

**Comment [IP19]:** The comment above similarly applies here.

S (1376 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and gypsum (1323 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) respectively. However, the lowest seed yield was observed from the control plot (1005 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). The percent increase in seed yield due to application of ammonium sulphate over control, gypsum and bentonite S were 49.9 %, 13.9 % and 9.2 % respectively.

Increasing sulphur levels resulted in an increase in mustard seed yield up to 60 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup>. Application of 60 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> resulted in a maximum seed yield of 1521 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, which was on par to 40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> (1459 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and demonstrated statistical superiority, over 20 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> (1229 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). The most reduced seed yield (1005 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded from control (0 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup>), which showed factual inadequacy over rest of the sulphur levels. The seed and stover yield increased 51.3 %, 23.7 % and 4.2 % due to incorporation of 60 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> over control, 20 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> and 40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> respectively.

This might be ascribed due to the increasing levels of S which resulted in higher deposition of carbohydrate, protein and their translocation to the productive organs, which in turn enhanced all the growth and yield attributing characters resulting more seed yield. Higher S levels were responsible for increased leaf area and chlorophyll content of leaves causing higher photosynthesis, assimilation and metabolic activities which were responsible for overall improvement in vigour and yield attributes, dry matter accumulation, its partitioning and finally seed yield of mustard. This is in conformity with Jyoti *et al.* (2012), Singh and Kumar (2014).

#### **Nutrient uptake**

Sulphur uptake by seed and stover was significantly higher when sulphur was applied at 60 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> (6.89 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and 19.27 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), which was statistically comparable to 40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> (6.46 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and 17.60 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and was significantly superior to 20 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> (4.92 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and 12.93 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) respectively. Significantly lowest S uptake was recorded in control treatment (3.52 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and 10.05 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) by seed and stover respectively. The uptake of sulphur nutrition at various stages was in an increasing trend and found highest with ammonium sulphate among sources and at 60 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> application, which was on par with 40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup>.

These findings might be attributed due to deficiency of sulphur in soil, which enhanced higher uptake that resulted in higher dry matter accumulation and sulphur content at all growth stages. Seed sulphur content at harvest was maximum and high

**Comment [IP20]:** Use one font

**Comment [IP21]:** Relevant comments above similarly applies here.

seed yield caused highest seed uptake at harvest, similarly the stover yield was also higher, which brought about higher sulphur uptake by stover. These findings are in consonance with Sahoo *et al.* (2018), Ray *et al.* (2015), Sarangathem *et al.* (2008), Pandey *et al.* (2010) and Kumar (2015).

Nitrogen uptake by seed and stover was significantly higher through ammonium sulphate (54.90 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and 36.01 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and statistically at par nitrogen uptake were gained with the application of gypsum (41.71 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and 28.51 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and bentonite S (45.91 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and 31.02 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) respectively. The seed and stover nitrogen uptake recorded least with Control (23.56 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and 20.49 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). Maximum concentration of nitrogen uptake at harvest by seed and stover was recorded with sulphur @ 60 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (54.28 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and 35.40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), which was significantly superior among all the treatments, and was statistically at par with 40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> (50.31 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and 33.33 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). The lowest nitrogen uptake (23.56 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and 20.49 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) at harvest was seen in Control (0 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup>) and was inferior to treatment containing 20 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> (37.93 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and 26.82 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>).

The increased uptake with ammonium sulphate might be due to more availability of sulphur, which caused more nitrogen metabolism, content and more dry matter accumulation at all growth stages. The seed uptake was more than stover because the nitrogen content in seed was around 6-7 times more stover content. The increased uptake with levels might be ascribed due to same reason. The parity between 40 and 60 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> might be due to similar dry matter, yield and content at each growth stages. This is in the accordance with the results reported by Baburao (2000), Verma *et al.* (2012) and Sarangathem *et al.* (2008).

### Conclusion

From the results it could be concluded that, the growth characters viz., plant height, leaf area index, dry matter accumulation were highly influenced due to adequate supply of sulphur externally, which was deficient in the soil. A dose of 60 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> was excess to obtain higher seed yield (1521 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) of mustard as it was on par with 40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> (1459 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). Therefore 40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> in the form of ammonium sulphate could be advocated for remunerative seed yield.

**Comment [IP22]:** So, after your study findings and conclusion, is there no recommendation for relevant studies on mustard plant agriculture?

## REFERENCE

- Ahmad, A, Khan I, Anjum NAM, Diva I, Abidin MZ and Iqbal M (2005) Effect of timing of sulfur fertilizer application on growth and yield of rapeseed. *Journal of plant nutrition* 28(6): 1049-1059.
- Alam M.S, Mishra A K, Singh K, Singh SK and David A (2014) Response of Sulphur and FYM on soil physico-chemical properties and growth, yield and quality of mustard (*Brassica nigra* L.). *Journal of Agricultural Physics* 14(2): 156-160.
- Baburao, H S (2000) Effect of different rates and sources of sulphur on yield, nutrient uptake and quality of mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.). M.Sc. (Ag) Thesis Marathwada Agricultural University.
- Begum F, Hossain F and Mondal MRI (2012) Influence of sulphur on morpho-physiological and yield parameters of rapeseed (*Brassica campestris* L.). *Bangladesh Journal of Agricultural Research* 37(4): 645-652.
- Chattopadhyay S and Ghosh, GK (2012) Response of rapeseed (*Brassica juncea* L.) to various sources and levels of sulphur in red and lateritic soils of West Bengal, India. *International Journal of Plant, Animal and Environmental Sciences* 2(4): 50-59.
- Dongarkar KP, Pawar WS, Khawale VS, Khutate NG and Gudadhe, NN (2005) Effect of nitrogen and sulphur on growth and yield of mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.). *Journal of Soils and Crops*. 15(1): 163-167.
- Jyoti K, Naik SK, Mandal M and Das DK (2012) Performance of different sources of sulphur on the yield and quality of rapeseed (*Brassica campestris* L.). *Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science* 60(3): 218-224.
- Kumar V (2015) Effect of sources and dosages of sulphur on growth, yield and quality of mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.). M.Sc. (Ag) Thesis. Bihar Agricultural University.
- Kumar V, Prasad S, Kumar A, Chandola JC, Kumar J, Singh SK, Kumar M and Shahi B (2019) Performance of different sources of sulphur on growth & yield of mustard in middle gangetic plains of Bihar. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry* 8(4): 2068-2072.

- Pandey R.K, Pandey IB, Thakur SK and Azad NK (2010) Response of India Mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.) Varieties to Sulphur Levels in Silty Loam Soil of Munger under Dryland Condition. *Indian Journal of Dryland Agricultural Research and Development* 25(2): 73-77.
- Piri ISSA and Sharma SN (2006) Physiological analysis of growth and yield of Indian mustard as affected by irrigation and sulphur. *Indian Journal of Plant Physiology* 11(3): 253-260.
- Rajput RK, Singh S, Varma J, Rajput P, Singh M and Nath S (2018) Effect of different levels of nitrogen and sulphur on growth and yield of Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea* (L.) Czern and Coss.) in salt affected soil. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry* 7(1): 1053-1055.
- Rathore SS, Shekhawat K, Kandpal BK, Premi OP, Singh SP and Chand G (2015) Sulphur management for increased productivity of Indian mustard: A Review. *Annals of Plant and Soil Research* 17(1): 1-12.
- Ray K, Sengupta K, Pal AK and Banerjee H (2015) Effects of sulphur fertilization on yield, S uptake and quality of Indian mustard under varied irrigation regimes. *Plant, Soil and Environment* 61(1): 6-10.
- Sahoo G.C, Santra GH, Biswas PK and Mishra S (2018) Influence of doses and source of sulphur on yield, quality and economics of mustard (*Brassica campestris* var toria) in red soil of Odisha. *Annals of Plant and Soil Research* 20(1): 46-51.
- Sarangthem I, Singh LS, Singh NG and Sarkar AK (2008) Response of rapeseed to nitrogen and sulphur. *Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science* 56(2): 222-224.
- Sharma A, Sharma P, Brar MS and Dhillon NS (2009) Comparative response to sulphur application in raya (*Brassica juncea*) and wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) grown on light textured alluvial soils. *Journal of Indian Society of Soil Science* 57 (1): 62-66.
- Singh S and Kumar K (2014) Effect of nitrogen and sulphur levels on seed yield and some other characters in mustard [*Brassica juncea* (L.) Czern and Coss]. *International Journal of Agricultural Sciences* 10(1): 449-452.
- Singh SB and Thenua, OVS (2016) Effect of phosphorus and sulphur fertilization on yield and NPS uptake by mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.). *Progressive Research-An International Journal* 11(1): 80-83.
- Tetarwal JP, Ram B, Meena DS and Tomar SS (2013) Effect of moisture conservation and sulphur sources on productivity and water use efficiency of Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea*) under rainfed conditions. *Indian Journal of Agronomy* 58(2): 231-236.
- Verma CK, Prasad K and Yadav DD (2012) Studies on response of sulphur, zinc and boron levels on yield, economics and nutrients uptake of mustard [*Brassica juncea* (L.) czern & coss.]. *Crop Research* 44(1&2): 75-78.

Verma OP, Singh S, Pradhan S, Kar G and Rautaray SK (2018) Irrigation, nitrogen and sulphur fertilization response on productivity, water use efficiency and quality of Ethiopian mustard (*Brassica carinata*) in a semi-arid environment. *Journal of Applied and Natural Science* 10(2): 593-600.

UNDER PEER REVIEW

**Table 1 Plant height (cm), leaf area index, dry matter accumulation and seed yield (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) of mustard crop at various growth stages as influenced by sources and levels of sulphur**

Treatments	Plant height (cm) at harvest	LAI at 60 DAS	Dry matter accumulation (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) at harvest	Seed yield (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )
<b>Sources of sulphur</b>				
S <sub>1</sub> (Ammonium sulphate)	172.7	3.58	6561.3	1507
S <sub>2</sub> (Gypsum)	156.7	3.35	5619.0	1323
S <sub>3</sub> (Bentonite S)	161.8	3.39	5731.9	1379
SEm (±)	3.6	0.06	121.8	33
CD (P=0.05)	10.7	0.18	362.0	98
<b>Levels of sulphur</b>				
L <sub>1</sub> (20 Kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	155.3	3.19	5634.3	1229
L <sub>2</sub> (40 Kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	166.2	3.51	6048.0	1459
L <sub>3</sub> (60 Kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	169.6	3.62	6229.9	1521
SEm (±)	3.6	0.06	121.8	33
CD (P=0.05)	10.7	0.18	362.0	98
<b>Interaction</b>				
SEm (±)	6.2	0.11	211.0	57
CD (P=0.05)	NS	NS	NS	NS
<b>Control vs. other treatments</b>				
Control	147.8	3.05	4790.0	1005
SEm (±)	6.5	0.11	222.5	60
CD (P=0.05)	13.8	0.24	467.4	126

**Table 2** Nitrogen and sulphur uptake ( $\text{kg ha}^{-1}$ ) by seed and stover of mustard crop at harvest as influenced by sources and levels of sulphur

**Comment [IP23]:** Table 2 is not quoted anywhere in the manuscript

Treatments	Sulphur uptake ( $\text{kg ha}^{-1}$ )		Nitrogen uptake ( $\text{kg ha}^{-1}$ )	
	Seed	Stover	Seed	Stover
<b>Sources of sulphur</b>				
<b>S<sub>1</sub> (Ammonium sulphate)</b>	7.21	18.77	54.90	36.01
<b>S<sub>2</sub> (Gypsum)</b>	5.36	14.95	41.71	28.51
<b>S<sub>3</sub> (Bentonite S)</b>	5.70	16.08	45.91	31.02
<b>SEm (<math>\pm</math>)</b>	0.19	0.58	1.627	0.864
<b>CD (P=0.05)</b>	0.58	1.73	4.833	2.566
<b>Levels of sulphur</b>				
<b>L<sub>1</sub> (20 Kg <math>\text{ha}^{-1}</math>)</b>	4.92	12.93	37.93	26.82
<b>L<sub>2</sub> (40 Kg <math>\text{ha}^{-1}</math>)</b>	6.46	17.60	50.31	33.33
<b>L<sub>3</sub> (60 Kg <math>\text{ha}^{-1}</math>)</b>	6.89	19.27	54.28	35.40
<b>SEm (<math>\pm</math>)</b>	0.19	0.58	1.627	0.864
<b>CD (P=0.05)</b>	0.58	1.73	4.833	2.566
<b>Interaction</b>				
<b>SEm (<math>\pm</math>)</b>	0.34	1.01	2.817	1.496
<b>CD (P=0.05)</b>	NS	NS	NS	NS
<b>Control vs. other treatments</b>				
<b>Control</b>	3.52	10.05	23.56	20.49
<b>SEm (<math>\pm</math>)</b>	0.36	1.06	2.970	1.577
<b>CD (P=0.05)</b>	0.75	2.23	6.239	3.313