

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_76097
Title of the Manuscript:	Role of Moisture Conservation Methods in Rainfed Cropping Systems of Telangana State
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>According to the review, the manuscript clearly explains the abstract; however, it has added some recommendations that necessarily should be added as:</p> <p>2.3</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - a simple clarification of the term "kharif" that can be done with a text call or (...) - What was the application procedure and why was this technique adopted? - What was the application procedure and why was this technique adopted, what instrument or material was used, were the fertilizers applied separately or mixed or perhaps sequentially over time, these procedural aspects should be included to make the methodology clearer and more likely to be replicated. <p>2.4</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - indicate which is the software used for data analysis <p>3.11 ...</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - indicate the corresponding level of significance when referring to the difference in results 	
Optional/General comments	the research is of substantial importance for wheat yield improvement, which should be published, as soon as some observations are corrected	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Raul Marino Yaranga Cano
Department, University & Country	Universidad Nacional del Centro del Perú, Peru