

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_75676
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of plant growth regulators to improve the colour and sugar content of Grapes (<i>Vitis vinifera</i> L.) cv. Red Globe
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>More citations that outline the problem and why it's important are needed. Include more literature review in your manuscript to add value to it.</p> <p>Describe climate better and give more facts about the red globe trade globally</p> <p>Include citations for your points, such as 'Red Globe is likely to become the most important seeded table grape...' Says who and what data?</p> <p>What's Coimbatore conditions (describe briefly)</p> <p>Need figures, not just tables (fix fonts in tables to be like)</p> <p>Section 3 should start with your results, not a list of what's in a table.</p> <p>Results and discussion section should be split. It is unclear what is result and what is discussion.</p> <p>Condense discussion to be more direct as it is clouded with terms and measurements. If condensed, it should read more directly, clearly, and cleanly with less repetitive words.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	Need language polishing, it is difficult to understand as is	
Optional/General comments	<p>Interesting topic. Thank you for the opportunity to review this manuscript. It could be of contribution to the scientific community if revised.</p> <p>Good description of variables and yield parameters – clear and easy to understand</p>	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Justin white
Department, University & Country	USA