

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_75597
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of different nutrient management practices on Productivity and Profitability of Double Zero Indian Mustard (<i>Brassica juncea</i> L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The abbreviation FYM should be explained at the first appearance in the text.</p> <p>You mentioned that “the remaining half was top dressed 2 times at the time of first and second irrigation” but you forgot to provide details on irrigation of the crop: irrigation quantity, irrigation rates, etc.</p> <p>In the Methods section you mentioned $P < 0.05$, and in the Tables you pointed that $P = 0.05$. Where is a mistake?</p> <p>How would you explain obtaining slightly higher seed yield at T11 and T12 in comparison to T5 and T6 but at the same time lower stover yield? Why T11 and T12 treatment options resulted in less stover yield per the unit of seed yield? You gave the explanation, but it is insufficient.</p> <p>What is B:C ratio? Add the explanation in the Methods section.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Pavlo Lykhovyd</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Institute Of Irrigated Agriculture Of Naas, Ukraine</i>