

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_75597
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of different nutrient management practices on Productivity and Profitability of Double Zero Indian Mustard (<i>Brassica juncea</i> L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The objective of the study in abstract was not in line with those in the abstract.</p> <p>Results and Discussion:</p> <p>The variables numbers in table 1 should be followed by a letter notation that indicates a significant or non-significant difference according to the results of the significant difference tests</p> <p>Economics: Was it tested statistically significant difference?</p> <p>If yes, kindly write down the letter notation that indicates a significant or non-significant difference according to the results of the significant difference test.</p> <p>This should be a reference for correcting whether the results and discussion are in line</p> <p>Fig. 1-3, kindly write down the title of X and Y axis</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>All references had cited in document, but it's better used reference manager i.e mendeley, or others</p>	
Optional/General comments	-	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jhon Hardy Purba
Department, University & Country	Panji Sakti University, Indonesia