

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_88969
Title of the Manuscript:	AMELIORATIVE EFFECT OF ETHANOLIC FRUIT EXTRACT OF <i>Perssea americana</i> and <i>Prunus dulcis</i> ON CAFFEIN-TESTICULAR DAMAGE IN MALE WISTAR ALBINO RATS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalijbcr.com/index.php/IJBCRR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Abstract</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Check name of groups in abstract and compare them with methodology as group 2. Number of groups is large so you could subdivide treated groups into subgroups. In line 7 mention name of second plant and other concs of combined treatment groups 10-12. Line 10 correct one rats to one rat. Why you don't mention results of other tested hormones. Line 16 Why you don't mention results of combined therapy. <p>Methodology</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Page 3, portion 2.2, first line, remove second fruit word Page 5, check references number in text 26 then 23 and continue. Page 11, portion 2.23, check number of rats 60 or 65 and the weight is different from abstract. Portion 2.25, number of groups 12 not 13. Page 12, remove word one. Constant name of groups with abstract. Constant name caffeine or caffeine induced. <p>Results and Discussion</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Page 13, line 21, remove numbers mentioned before. Triterpenoids (<i>persea</i>) in table ++ while +++ in text. Page 14, line 8 below table, correct samponin word. Page 15, line 6, correct name of plant with combined therapy. Page 15, line 14, Mention number of combined therapy. Page 15, line 17, correct number. Page 16, line 2, below table, change group with table word. Page 18, line 7, below table correct concs. Page 22, reference 42 not Nokosinathi. <p>Conclusion</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Page 26, why you mention free radical scavenging potential while you don't measure any oxidative stress or anti-oxidant marker. <p>References list</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> References list finished with No 46 while in text 42. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Measure oxidative and antioxidants markers in testicular homogenate	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rania Said Salah Mohamed Zedan
Department, University & Country	National Research Centre, Medical Research and clinical studies institute, Egypt