

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_88969
Title of the Manuscript:	AMELIORATIVE EFFECT OF ETHANOLIC FRUIT EXTRACT OF <i>Perssea americana</i> and <i>Prunus dulcis</i> ON CAFFEIN-TESTICULAR DAMAGE IN MALE WISTAR ALBINO RATS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalijbcr.com/index.php/IJBCRR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	This manuscript is good in its contents and composition, only needs a little improvement	
Minor REVISION comments	Keywords are usually arranged in alphabetical. In abstract and in Experimental design : 65 rats were divided into 12 groups consisting of 5 rats in one group, so there are more than the 5 rats here, what for ? In introduction : Put a closing parenthesis after (<i>Prunus dulcis</i>) The title 2.21 Determination of Steroid, should not be separated from the content, move to the next page. 2.24 Ethanolic Extraction of the Plant Samples, Whatman, not Wahtzman. The title 2.27 Statistical Analysis, should not be separated from the content, move to the next page. We recommend that the letters in the Table 2 must be smaller than the text and preferably Table in one page. We recommend preferably the Table 4 , Table 6 and Table 7 on one page. Plate 7,8,9,10,11 and 12 are not clear, they are should be replace with a clearer picture.	
Optional/General comments	There are 27 from 46 references not up to date (more than 10 years)	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Maria Bintang
Department, University & Country	Universitas Kristen Indonesia, Indonesia