

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_88485
Title of the Manuscript:	GROWTH PARAMETERS AND TOXICITY OF COWPEA (VIGNA UNGUICULATA) GROWN IN COPPER POLLUTED SOIL
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljeai.com/index.php/JEAI/editorial-policy>)

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1- Author (s)n should discuss types of antioxidants defence mechanisms used by this plant against metal stress.</li> <li>2- Discussion of health risk assessment is very weak and should be structured better.</li> <li>3- This research needed to support with more details about health risk assessment and more examples should be present.</li> <li>4- The manuscript should include dangerous of copper toxicity on human health with more details and different compared results should be added.</li> <li>5- What are the ranges of daily dose of copper which should be taken by human according to United States Environmental Protection Agency.</li> </ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1- Table (9) which represent parameters of soil analysis should be present in the beginning of the results section and takes number (1) instead of (9).</li> <li>2- Author (s) should increase the content of comparison of the obtained results with results of other types of heavy metals experimented on the same plant type.</li> </ol>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Author (s) did his/their best to increase quality of the manuscript and supported the research with recent references. I suggest the author (s) re-submit the manuscript to the journal after careful revising to enhance the completeness of the manuscript.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mona Ibrahim Abd El Naby Kaamoush
Department, University & Country	Arab Academy for Science, Technology and Maritime Transport, Egypt