

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_86195
Title of the Manuscript:	Quality attributes of Pupuru Analogue Produced from Orange Fleshed Sweet Potato (OFSP) as Influenced by Fermentation with Rhizopus oligosporous
Type of the Article	Experimental paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalijbcr.com/index.php/IJBCRR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	The paper is well written. The basic ideas and contribution are clearly presented. But Can you reform some sentences in the introduction section and Analysis section that are drawn on from other references using your style?	
Optional/General comments	Is there any possibility to cite recent references concerning the following "Research on OFSP has been intensified in present times due to the many positive aspects related to agriculture" in the introduction section?	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Reem Ibrahim Hasan
Department, University & Country	University of Information Technology and Communications, Iraq