

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_76674
Title of the Manuscript:	AMINO ACIDS PROFILE, IN EGYPTIAN PATIENTS WITH MYOCARDIAL INFARCTION
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Why only 60 subjects were selected. 60 number is not a sufficient number for research purpose. Number of controls are 20, no justification has been given for selecting this number. Language inconsistency is there- for example. High pressure liquid chromatography was applied to quantify the plasma AAs. Instead of quantity, quantify should be used. Every year, about 10% of patients who are present with chest pain are diagnosed with heart attack- Sentence is vague There is no synchronize format for reference citation. At few places author is using the superscript format and rest of the cases, it is written within the main text.</p> <p>-80_C for analyses of AAs profile. two milliliters was collected in a tube containing Formatting and punctuation errors are there!</p> <p>References are outdated. Latest references should be added. Figure number 01 A, is so compact and merged. Its not acceptable as per the current form.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	--	
Optional/General comments	--	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Taqdees Malik
Department, University & Country	Jinnah University for Women, Pakistan