

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Blood Research & Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IBRR_86960
Title of the Manuscript:	'A CASE OF OVERLAPPING AUTOIMMUNE SCLEROSING CHOLANGITIS AND AUTOIMMUNE HEMOLYTIC ANEMIA'
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalibrr.com/index.php/IBRR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	I had an issue with the following sentence: "However, it was the timely diagnosis and appropriate screening which led to the accurate diagnosis in this scenario". Also, the author(s) mention(s) having started prednisone, then restarting it, but never mentions having stopped the treatment before restarting it or the reasons why it was stopped.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> The authors never mentioned having had an ethical review or consent from the girl's parents to write this report.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Riordan Kennedy Broseguini de Souza
Department, University & Country	Brazil