

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Blood Research &amp; Reviews</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IBRR_86960
Title of the Manuscript:	'A CASE OF OVERLAPPING AUTOIMMUNE SCLEROSING CHOLANGITIS AND AUTOIMMUNE HEMOLYTIC ANEMIA'
Type of the Article	Case study

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalibrr.com/index.php/IBRR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Abstract part: totally re-write the abstract with indication of introduction, objectives, methods, results and conclusion indications with specific words.</b></li> <li><b>Introduction part: no clear indication of outputs and methods</b></li> <li><b>Discussion part: the author didn't discuss well the case studies with its diagnosis results. It is better discuss well for each case in details.</b></li> <li><b>Conclusion part: reorganised the idea and eliminates the contradiction idea.</b></li> <li><b>Reference parts: use the same style citation system with updated references.</b></li> </ol> <p>Generally, basic draw back of the manuscript are; the author didn't mentioned what types of autoimmune haemolytic anemia that seen at the child? What is its classification based? Is that primary or secondary autoimmune haemolytic anemia? What prevention methods are advisable for those victimed one based on the type? And like questions are not mentioned.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Grammatical and typo errors as well as removing redundancy. Eg. The author used she and child many times.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Generally the manuscript written in poor form.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Adere Tarekegne Habte
Department, University & Country	Woldia University, Ethiopia