

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Astronomy and Astrophysics Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IAARJ_87548
Title of the Manuscript:	ON THE CENTRAL ENGINE OF THE COMPACT STEEP SPECTRUM SOURCE AND SOURCE PHENOMENA
Type of the Article	ORIGINAL RESEARCH ARTICLE

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaliaarj.com/index.php/IAARJ/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Reviewer's comment: Dear Editor: The manuscript focused on the "ON THE CENTRAL ENGINE OF THE COMPACT STEEP SPECTRUM SOURCE AND SOURCE PHENOMENA". It is very interesting and novel study in some fields. Based on above reason, it is recommended to publish it. However, some points need to revise, which is listed below [1] The new relate references are needed to add in the revised manuscript. [2] The authors investigate many parameters in this study. What is optimal condition in this work? [3] What are the important applications in this study? Please add in the revised manuscript. [4] The author should compare the specific properties of other materials and explain the benefits of this material. 5] Theoretical calculations how to set the initial conditions or parameters to get close to the actual situation. [6] Can theoretical calculations and practical applications be compared to each other? [7] How the theoretical setting conditions are suitable for the actual situation? Sincerely yours.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	A Yuan-Tsung Chen
Department, University & Country	National Yunlin University of Science and Technology, Taiwan