

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Astronomy and Astrophysics Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IAARJ_87136
Title of the Manuscript:	Did LIGO Detect Being Gravity Waves or Noises?
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaliaarj.com/index.php/IAARJ/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. Fig. 2 should be edited correctly to bring all eight (8) plots in one below another and not in scattered manner as given presently.	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Page 1, section 1, Introduce, pls. correct as "Introduction". 2. Page 1, section 1, line 1, pls. give full form of "LIGO" 3. Page 2, line 25, "...LOGO detect something...."; pls. correct as "...LIGO detect something.....". 4. Page 3, section 2.1, line 13, ".....the first row in Fig. 2,....."; pls. correct as, ".....the first row in Fig. 1,.....". 5. Page 4, section 2.2, line 1, pls. add reference no. [6] to "J. Creswell". 6. Page 5, caption of Fig. 4 is wrongly mentioned as Fig. 3, pls. correct as Fig. 4. 7. Caption of Fig. 4 and Fig. 5 are scattered, pls. edit them to bring below the respective figures. 8. Page 6, "section 2 The problem.....detection"; pls. correct as section 3. 9. Page 10, section 4.3, line 3, ".....as shown in Fig. 9."; pls. correct as, ".....as shown in Fig. 10.". 10. Page 10, section 4.3, line 4, ".....and the yellow line...."; pls. correct as, ".....and the orange line....". 11. Page 11, section 5, line 17, ".....how could Eddington et al. measured...."; please give reference to this citation. 	
Optional/General comments	In this article, the author/s contradict LIGO claims to detect gravitational waves and suggest that the observed data from LIGO experiments is consistent with the theoretical waveform only in time window of 0.1 to 0.13 seconds. The author/s suggest that LIGO's gravitational wave discovery is essentially a computer based simulation and nothing to do with the actual astronomical and astrophysical processes.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Vipin Jain
Department, University & Country	CSIR-National Physical Laboratory, India