

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Astronomy and Astrophysics Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IAARJ_87136
Title of the Manuscript:	Did LIGO Detect Being Gravity Waves or Noises?
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaliaarj.com/index.php/IAARJ/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript can be accepted for only after make the necessary corrections.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Please change your manuscript title. I suggest that "Did LIGO Detect Being Gravitational Waves or Noises?" or "LIGO's Side in Detecting the Existence of Gravitational Waves or Noises". 2. The abstracts need to be rewritten because objective of this study has not been clearly stated and some spelling and grammar check. 3. The objective of this study has not been clearly stated in the introduction and it is worrisome to the reader. <p>Line-6- These- this, Line-11- They- them, Line-15- non- none, Line-16- meansioned- mentioned</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 4. The keywords have become too much. Keep only 4-5. 5. Heading-1- please change word- Introduce- Introduction 6. Heading-1- point-6. Line no 3- grammar mistake. 7. Subheading 2.1- paragraph-5- Because----- line is not complete. 8. Subheading 2.2- line-4- correct the word- indicting- indicating 9. All figures are not clear visualized. 10. Heading no 4- Correct word- LOGO-LIGO 11. Subheading-4.1- Point 2- sentence is not complete. 12. Subheading 4.2- line 1- sentence is not complete and spelling mistake, grammar check. 13. There is a need to completely correct the spelling check and grammar mistake in the entire manuscript as well as sentence complete. 14. In entire manuscript- Can not- Cannot, write separately. 15. Heading-5- Point 2- line 4- grammar mistake. 16. "Justify" the align text in the entire manuscript. 17. The conclusion needs to be further improved. To what extent do you agree/disagree with the experiment of LIGO? Please take your stand. 	
Minor REVISION comments	Not Necessary	
Optional/General comments	This manuscript is author's original and own work.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shashank Sharma
Department, University & Country	Govt. E.R.R. PG Science College, India