

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International STD Research & Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_I-SRR_86455
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSMENT OF SAFE SEXUAL PRACTICE AND KNOWLEDGE OF HIV/AIDS AMONG FEMALE SEX WORKERS IN PORT HARCOURT
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journali-srr.com/index.php/I-SRR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Introduction is too lengthy, there is need to reduce it.</p> <p>Why this study is important, that is not mentioned clearly</p> <p>Conclusion and recommendations are generalised. Also they are not based on study. Interventions suggested were not validated in study, so how author can be so sure that they will work.</p> <p>What is the interpretation of table 3, How author wants to use this for conclusion?</p> <p>Why they didn't conducted qualitative study.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	Language, spelling	
Optional/General comments	More crisp writing needed	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sumit Aggarwal
Department, University & Country	India