

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International STD Research & Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_I-SRR_75798
Title of the Manuscript:	LOWER INCISOR POSITIONS IN DIFFERENT MALOCCLUSIONS AND FACIAL PATTERN
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The article is roughly written and needs revision. The objective of the study is valid but still seems incomplete.	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A. Completion of sentences. B. Sentence making e.g. A P value of 0.05 was considered statistically significant.(no need writing A) C. Refrence no. after full stop e.g. mask this discrepancy³⁻⁵. D. Details of points (no diagram or explanation) not mentioned e.g. Angle formed between point A, N and B, determining the anteroposterior jaw relationship. E. Use of punctuations need to be rechecked e.g. 1. were taken, and they.... 2. hypodivergent facial pattern, using ANB.....(no need of commas) F. Use of upper case at wrong places e.g. Pre-treatment , Sagittally , Skeletal, of Orthodontic diagnosis etc. G. No mention of facial patterns in the conclusion (in the abstract)whereas it is mentioned in the objectives H. Correction in writing the bibliography. 	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rachna Jain
Department, University & Country	Baba Farid University of Health Science, India