

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_88490
Title of the Manuscript:	Designing of Ready to Eat Convenient Mid - Day Meal for Children and Adolescents of Sri Lanka Based on Nutritional Perspective
Type of the Article	Research article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalejns.com/index.php/EJNFS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>In abstract-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> After aim, study design, methodology & results colon mark to be put instead of semicolon; In abstract results fibre (4.40g) and not (4.40) g <p>In materials and methods:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> page 5: 9 -15 table-spoons (approximately 130 g/day and not 45g per day. cooked rice 300g, cooked vegetables 45g and not 15g <p>Results and discussion: page10:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> instant sprout meal on processes of soaking..... not basis Muizniece-Brasava et al was described...-why authors name? (at 120 ± 0.5°C for 10 minutes) and not 120 ± 0.5 Food Based and not Food Bases Dietary Guidelines published Page 12: commercial sterility of thermally processed and not commercial sterility of thermal processed Page 13: Among three meals chicken and rice meals showed and not Among three meals chicken and rice meal meals showed Page 15: plant source of soya beans contributed to higher ..and not plant source of soya beans will contribute higher Page17: in Table 9[25] and not in Table 9 [26]. <p>Competing interests: not written/ declared</p> <p>References:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Reference 8: https://globalnutritionreport.org/reports/2021-global-nutrition-report/ <p>And not Error! Hyperlink reference not valid..</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Reference 21: why only the 21st is in italics? <i>Ann Food Sci Nutr in italics?</i> 	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	M Meera
Department, University & Country	Sri Sathya Sai Institute of Higher Learning, India