

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_86434
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative study of Fe, Mn and Ni contamination potential of Disc and Hammer Milling Equipment and their toxic effect on rat kidney.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalejns.com/index.php/EJNFS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	No.1 Comparative, Grinding plates cannot be keywords (Substitute with other suitable words). No.2. Try to prepare the abstract within 10-12 lines only.	
Minor REVISION comments	No.1 Finish the material and methods within 1 page No.2 3.1 heading "Concentration of heavy metals obtained from the experimental disc and hammer milling machine plates used for the experiment". It's too long so, reframes it. Similarly for 3.2-3.9 headings. No.3. Few sentences are poorly written and need to improve. No.4. A bit more time should be invested in references.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Chadetric Rout
Department, University & Country	Maharishi Markandeshwar (Deemed to be University), India